INDICATION

TEPEZZA is indicated for the treatment of Thyroid Eye Disease.

IMPORTANT SAFETY INFORMATION

Warnings and Precautions

Infusion Reactions: TEPEZZA may cause infusion reactions. Infusion reactions have been reported in approximately 4% of patients treated with TEPEZZA. Reported infusion reactions have usually been mild or moderate in severity. Signs and symptoms may include transient increases in blood pressure, feeling hot, tachycardia, dyspnea, headache, and muscular pain. Infusion reactions may occur during an infusion or within 1.5 hours after an infusion. In patients who experience an infusion reaction, consideration should be given to premedicating with an antihistamine, antipyretic, or corticosteroid and/or administering all subsequent infusions at a slower infusion rate.

Preexisting Inflammatory Bowel Disease: TEPEZZA may cause an exacerbation of preexisting inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Monitor patients with IBD for flare of disease. If IBD exacerbation is suspected, consider discontinuation of TEPEZZA.

Hyperglycemia: Increased blood glucose or hyperglycemia may occur in patients treated with TEPEZZA. In clinical trials, 10% of patients (two-thirds of whom had preexisting diabetes or impaired glucose tolerance) experienced hyperglycemia. Hyperglycemic events should be managed with medications for glycemic control, if necessary. Monitor patients for elevated blood glucose and symptoms of hyperglycemia while on treatment with TEPEZZA. Patients with preexisting diabetes should be under appropriate glycemic control before receiving TEPEZZA.

TEPEZZA is proven to¹⁻⁴:

- Decrease proptosis¹
- Improve diplopia¹
- Reduce orbital pain, redness, and swelling²,³
- Improve functional vision and patient appearance²,³

...in patients with TED, without concomitant steroids (vs placebo at Week 24).²⁻⁴
**Adverse Reactions**

The most common adverse reactions (incidence ≥5% and greater than placebo) are muscle spasm, nausea, alopecia, diarrhea, fatigue, hyperglycemia, hearing impairment, dysgeusia, headache, and dry skin.

**Please see Brief Summary of Prescribing Information for TEPEZZA on following page.**

---

**References:**

TEPEZZA and the HORIZON logo are trademarks owned by or licensed to Horizon. © 2021 Horizon Therapeutics plc P-TEP-00887 02/21
TEPEZZA is indicated for the treatment of Thyroid Eye Disease.

INDICATIONS AND USAGE

TEPEZZA is indicated for the treatment of Thyroid Eye Disease.

WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS

Infusion Reactions

TEPEZZA may cause infusion reactions. Infusion reactions have been reported in approximately 4% of patients treated with TEPEZZA. Signs and symptoms of infusion-related reactions include transient increases in blood pressure, feeling hot, tachycardia, dyspnea, headache and muscular pain. Infusion reactions may occur during any of the infusions or within 1.5 hours after an infusion. Reported infusion reactions are usually mild or moderate in severity and can usually be managed with an antihistamine, antipyretic, corticosteroid and/or administering all subsequent infusions at a slower infusion rate.

Exacerbation of Preexisting Inflammatory Bowel Disease

TEPEZZA may cause an exacerbation of preexisting inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Monitor patients with IBD for flare of disease. If IBD exacerbation is suspected, consider discontinuation of TEPEZZA.

Hyperglycemia

Hyperglycemia or increased blood glucose may occur in patients treated with TEPEZZA. In clinical trials, 10% of patients (two-thirds of whom had preexisting diabetes or impaired glucose tolerance) experienced hyperglycemia. Hyperglycemic events should be controlled with medications for glycomic control, if necessary. Monitor patients for elevated blood glucose and symptoms of hyperglycemia while on treatment with TEPEZZA. Patients with preexisting diabetes should be under appropriate glycomic control before receiving TEPEZZA.

ADVERSE REACTIONS

The following clinically significant adverse reactions are described elsewhere in the labeling:

- Infusion Reactions [see Warnings and Precautions]
- Exacerbation of Inflammatory Bowel Disease [see Warnings and Precautions]
- Hyperglycemia [see Warnings and Precautions]

Clinical Trials Experience

Because clinical trials are conducted under widely varying conditions, adverse reaction rates observed in the clinical trials of a drug cannot be directly compared to rates in the clinical trials of another drug and may not reflect the rates observed in practice.

Table 1. Adverse Reactions Occurring in 5% or More of Patients Treated with TEPEZZA and Greater Incidence than Placebo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Reactions</th>
<th>TEPEZZA N=84 %</th>
<th>Placebo N=86 %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muscle spasm</td>
<td>21 (25%)</td>
<td>6 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>14 (17%)</td>
<td>8 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alopecia</td>
<td>11 (13%)</td>
<td>7 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>10 (12%)</td>
<td>7 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>10 (12%)</td>
<td>6 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperglycemia</td>
<td>8 (10%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing impairment</td>
<td>8 (10%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysgeusia</td>
<td>7 (8%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>7 (8%)</td>
<td>6 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry skin</td>
<td>7 (8%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Adverse Reactions Occurring in 5% or More of Patients Treated with TEPEZZA and Greater Incidence than Placebo

Table 1 shows adverse reactions reported in two randomized, double-masked, placebo-controlled clinical studies (Study 1 [NCT01868997] and Study 2 [NCT03298867]) consisting of 170 patients with Thyroid Eye Disease. 84 received TEPEZZA and 86 received placebo. Patients were treated with TEPEZZA (10 mg/kg for first infusion and 20 mg/kg for the remaining 7 infusions) or placebo given as an intravenous infusion every 3 weeks for a total of 8 infusions. The majority of patients completed 8 infusions (89% of TEPEZZA patients and 93% of placebo patients).

The most common adverse reactions (25%) that occurred at greater incidence in the TEPEZZA group than in the control group during the treatment period of Studies 1 and 2 are summarized in Table 1.
On July 1st, Aetna implemented a new policy requiring prior authorization for cataract surgery. Ophthalmologists, as well as the American Academy of Ophthalmology and the American Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgery, are up in arms, saying that this new policy is disruptive and burdensome and will result in delays in care for thousands of patients.

David B. Glasser, MD, the secretary for federal affairs at the Academy, says the policy is based on the belief that there’s a great deal of unnecessary cataract surgery being performed. “Aetna has told us they have internal data suggesting that about 4 to 5 percent of surgeries may be unnecessary, but they didn’t offer any details,” he says. “Historically, the number of unnecessary cataract surgeries isn’t zero, but it’s very low—about 2 percent, depending on the criteria applied. It doesn’t make sense to subject every single cataract surgery to prior authorization for a problem that constitutes only a very small percentage of surgeries.”

The policy change was announced in the spring, but Aetna failed to provide a way to obtain prior authorizations until just a few days before it went into effect on July 1, says Dr. Glasser. “It’s been inefficient,” he says. “We’ve already had reports of at least one emergency case that wasn’t approved, despite Aetna’s statement that emergency cases could be approved immediately through their 800 number instead of their online portal. We have real concerns that there may be poor outcomes due to delays in care.”

The case in question involved a patient with a cataract and retinal detachment requiring emergency surgery in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. The cataract obscured the view of the posterior segment and retina. “Cataract surgery was initially denied,” Dr. Glasser says. “It could have been a couple weeks for approval to come through, and the patient couldn’t wait that long. The surgeon removed the cataract. In situations like this, we hope the surgeon would perform the surgery and deal with the insurance later, but this situation really isn’t acceptable.”

Review was unable to speak to an Aetna spokesperson for comment, but received instead a statement from the company that expressed Aetna’s outreach efforts to inform the ophthalmic community of the policy and reassure them that patients would receive “timely access to appropriate, necessary care.”

Previous communications with Aetna didn’t define what the company considered medically necessary, says Dr. Glasser. Review was also sent a link to Aetna’s cataract clinical policy bulletin, but the policy bulletin was last updated in April 2020 and revised in August 2020, so it’s unclear whether the criteria online reflect exactly the criteria for the July 1, 2021 preauthorization policy.

Dr. Glasser says the AAO has heard complaints about Aetna’s online portal rejecting approvals, requiring staff to go back and file more details. Refiling may take up to two weeks, he says. “We’ve heard from practices that need to schedule combined cataract and glaucoma surgery—not on an emergency basis—that are getting mixed messages from Aetna: The telephone folks say no precertification is needed, but the online portal says it is. There’s really a lot of confusion surrounding this.”

ASCRS and AAO have connected with the leadership at Aetna and are keeping lines of communication open. “We’ve reached out to our members with information they can give to their patients to explain this...”

(Continued on p. 14)
INDICATION FOR USE. The iStent inject® W Trabecular Micro-Bypass System Model G2-W is indicated for use in conjunction with cataract surgery for the reduction of intraocular pressure (IOP) in adult patients with mild to moderate primary open-angle glaucoma.

CONTRAINDICATIONS. The iStent inject W is contraindicated in eyes with angle-closure glaucoma, traumatic, malignant, uveitic, or neovascular glaucoma, discernible congenital anomalies of the anterior chamber (AC) angle, retrobulbar tumor, thyroid eye disease, or Sturge-Weber Syndrome or any other type of condition that may cause elevated episcleral venous pressure. WARNINGS. Gonioscopy should be performed prior to surgery to exclude congenital anomalies of the angle, PAS, rubeosis, or conditions that would prohibit adequate visualization of the angle that could lead to improper placement of the stent and pose a hazard.

MRI INFORMATION. The iStent inject W is MR-Conditional, i.e., the device is safe for use in a specified MR environment under specified conditions; please see Directions for Use (DFU) label for details. PRECAUTIONS. The surgeon should monitor the patient postoperatively for proper maintenance of IOP. The safety and effectiveness of the iStent inject W have not been established as an alternative to the primary treatment of glaucoma with medications, in children, in eyes with significant prior trauma, abnormal anterior segment, chronic inflammation, prior glaucoma surgery (except SLT performed > 90 days preoperatively), glaucoma associated with vascular disorders, pseudoexfoliative, pigmentary or other secondary open-angle glaucomas, pseudophakic eyes, phakic eyes without concurrent cataract surgery or with complicated cataract surgery, eyes with medicated IOP > 24 mmHg or unmedicated IOP < 21 mmHg or > 36 mmHg, or for implantation of more or less than two stents.

ADVERSE EVENTS. Common postoperative adverse events reported in the iStent inject® randomized pivotal trial included stent obstruction (6.2%), intraocular inflammation (5.7% for iStent inject vs. 4.2% for cataract surgery only), secondary surgical intervention (0.4% vs. 0.0%) and BCVA loss > 2 lines > 3 months (2.6% vs. 4.2%). CAUTION: Federal law restricts this device to sale by, or on the order of, a physician. Please see DFU for a complete list of contraindications, warnings, precautions, and adverse events.

Glaukos®, iStent®, iStent inject®, and iStent inject W are registered trademarks of Glaukos Corporation. All rights reserved. ©2021 PM-US-0343

Get started with micro-invasive glaucoma surgery using iStent inject® W today. Contact your local Glaukos rep for more information.
AcrySof® IQ PanOptix® Family of Trifocal IOLS

Important Product Information

CAUTION: Federal (USA) law restricts this device to the sale by or on the order of a physician. INDICATIONS: The AcrySof® IQ PanOptix® Trifocal IOLS include AcrySof® IQ PanOptix® and AcrySof® IQ PanOptix® Toric IOLS and are indicated for primary implantation in the capsular bag in the posterior chamber of the eye for the visual correction of aphakia in adult patients, with less than 1 diopter of pre-existing corneal astigmatism, in whom a cataractous lens has been removed. The lens mitigates the effects of presbyopia by providing improved intermediate and near visual acuity, while maintaining comparable distance visual acuity with a reduced need for eyeglasses, compared to a monofocal IOL. In addition, the AcrySof® IQ PanOptix® Toric Trifocal IOL is indicated for the reduction of residual refractive astigmatism.

WARNINGS/PRECAUTIONS: Careful preoperative evaluation and sound clinical judgment should be used by the surgeon to decide the risk/benefit ratio before implanting a lens in a patient with any of the conditions described in the Directions for Use labeling. Physicians should target emmetropia and ensure that IOL centration is achieved. For the AcrySof® IQ PanOptix® Toric Trifocal IOL, the lens should not be implanted if the posterior capsule is ruptured, if the zonules are damaged or if a primary posterior capsulotomy is planned. Rotation can reduce astigmatic correction. If necessary, lens repositioning should occur as early as possible prior to lens encapsulation. Some visual effects may be expected due to the superposition of focused and unfocused multiple images. These may include some perceptions of halos or starbursts, as well as other visual symptoms. As with all multifocal IOLS, there is a possibility that visual symptoms may be significant enough that the patient will request explant of the multifocal IOL. A reduction in contrast sensitivity as compared to a monofocal IOL may be experienced by some patients and may be more prevalent in low-lighting conditions. Therefore, patients implanted with multifocal IOLS should exercise caution when driving at night or in poor visibility conditions. Patients should be advised that unexpected outcomes could lead to continued spectacle dependence or the need for secondary surgical intervention (e.g., intraocular lens replacement or repositioning).

As with other multifocal IOLS, patients may need glasses when reading small print or looking at small objects. Posterior capsule opacification (PCO) may significantly affect the vision of patients with multifocal IOLS sooner in its progression than patients with monofocal IOLS. Prior to surgery, physicians should provide prospective patients with a copy of the Patient Information Brochure, available from Alcon, informing them of possible risks and benefits associated with the AcrySof® IQ PanOptix® Trifocal IOLS. ATTENTION: Reference the Directions for Use labeling for each IOL for a complete listing of indications, warnings and precautions.
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ATTENTION: Reference the Directions for Use labeling for each IOL for a complete listing of indications, warnings and precautions.
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FEEL THE THRILL

Break free from tradition.

Unleash the power of the PanOptix® IOL.

© 2019 Alcon Inc.
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TRANSFORM YOUR EXPERIENCE.

Contact your Alcon representative to schedule a personalized demo of the latest NGENUITY® 3D Visualization System advancements featuring CENTURION® Vision System and ORA SYSTEM® with VerifEye®+ Technology integration.

IMPORTANT PRODUCT INFORMATION

Caution: Federal (USA) law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of, a physician.

Indication: The NGENUITY® 3D Visualization System consists of a 3D stereoscopic, high-definition digital video camera and workstation to provide magnified stereoscopic images of objects during micro-surgery. It acts as an adjunct to the surgical microscope during surgery, displaying real-time images or images from recordings.

Warnings: The system is not suitable for use in the presence of flammable anesthetics mixture with air or oxygen. There are no known contraindications for use of this device.

Precautions: Do not touch any system component and the patient at the same time during a procedure to prevent electric shock. When operating in 3D, to ensure optimal image quality, use only approved passive-polarized glasses. Use of polarized prescription glasses will cause the 3D effect to be distorted. In case of emergency, keep the microscope oculars and mounting accessories in the cart top drawer. If there are any concerns regarding the continued safe-use of the NGENUITY® 3D Visualization System, consider returning to using the microscope oculars.

Attention: Refer to the user manual for a complete list of appropriate uses, warnings and precautions.
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EDITOR'S PAGE

John F. Kennedy famously—though, it turns out, erroneously—said that the Chinese word for “crisis” is actually a combination of the symbols for “danger” and “opportunity.” In a similar vein, we should come up with a word to describe the feeling of going to live conventions during a pandemic that combines “excitement” and “caution.”

As I write this, I’ve just returned from the 2021 American Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgeons meeting in Las Vegas, the first large, live meeting ophthalmology’s had since the 2019 American Academy of Ophthalmology meeting (I hope we all enjoyed that meeting, knowing now what was in store for us a couple of months later).

Even though ASCRS’ official numbers had the attendance as, understandably, decreased in comparison to past meetings that didn’t occur during a global pandemic, I’m sure the other attendees would agree that it felt great to be in-person again. Gone was the need to stare at a screen for hours, as speakers spoke over each other due to a Zoom audio delay. This was replaced by live sessions with speakers and panelists who made you feel completely engaged with the material. The other benefit, as ophthalmologists will attest, was the chance of meeting with a colleague, ending in a coffee or a lunch, where you learn not only what’s new with the person you’re speaking to, but also what’s new in their practice. What do they think about the new lens they just started using? How do they handle cataract patients who are complaining about poor vision post-op? Can you believe the proposed reimbursement cuts? The immediacy of these types of interactions, made possible by everyone being in the same place, was refreshing and something the digital world still hasn’t been able to duplicate.

That was the “excitement” part of our new word for live meetings. The “caution” part appeared when I opened some local news sites and read, “Las Vegas Declared Worst Metro Area in the Nation for COVID-19 Transmission.”1 That’s like reading, “Planes Crashing at Unprecedented Rate” as you tear into your airplane pretzels. Fortunately, I, and I’m guessing almost everyone else at the meeting, was vaccinated, and some 99 percent of the new COVID-19 cases are occurring in unvaccinated people. Still, one worries about the possibility of breakthrough infections, spreading the virus without getting sick yourself, and the effects of mutations like the Delta variant.

However, if we’re to live our lives and return to some semblance of normalcy, caution may be the price we pay, at least for now. Until normal life returns, maybe we can hope for just a little bit of the two things that compose the word “happiness” in Chinese: luck and a blessing.

— Walter Bethke
Editor in Chief

Alcon

EMBRACE THE

VIVITY VIEW

Expand visual possibilities with the AcrySof® IQ Vivity™ IOL, the first and only non-diffractive extended depth of focus IOL.

Learn more about the AcrySof® IQ Vivity™ IOL today at: VivityView.com.
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Cataract Pre-authorization  
(Continued from p. 5)

A team looking into the prognostic factors associated with low postop visual acuity in patients experiencing vitreous loss during cataract surgery identified ocular comorbidities, secondary intraocular lens implantation, cystoid macular edema development and additional surgical complications as playing a role.

The retrospective, noncomparative, interventional case study evaluated 179 patients experiencing vitreous loss during cataract surgery (60 percent of the patients were male) with a mean age of 73 years, axial length of 23.5 mm and follow-up of 12 months. The researchers assessed demographics, best-corrected visual acuity, axial length, presence of ocular comorbidities affecting central vision, timing of IOL implantation, position of the implanted lens and presence of corneal sutures.

Low visual outcome was defined as best-corrected visual acuity <20/40.

Multivariable logistic regression analysis discovered that low visual outcomes were independently associated with persisting postoperative complications (odds ratio: 6.25), preexisting ocular comorbidities (odds ratio: 4.45) and secondary intraocular lens implantation (odds ratio: 10.36). Conversely, pars plana vitrectomy for dislocated fragments of lens material, patient age of more than 70 years, patient gender, axial length, experience level of surgeon, corneal suturing and anterior chamber lens implantation were not found to have significant associations with low visual outcomes.

The study’s authors concluded that clinicians, specifically those involved in delivery of cataract surgery and its follow-up care, should be aware of these findings in order to give their cataract surgery patients a more accurate prognosis.

iLink™ is the only FDA-approved cross-linking procedure that slows or halts progressive keratoconus to help you preserve vision.

INDICATIONS
Photrexa® Viscous (riboflavin 5’-phosphate in 20% dextran ophthalmic solution) and Photrexa® (riboflavin 5’-phosphate ophthalmic solution) are indicated for use with the KXL® System in corneal collagen cross-linking for the treatment of progressive keratoconus and corneal ectasia following refractive surgery.

IMPORTANT SAFETY INFORMATION
Corneal collagen cross-linking should not be performed on pregnant women.
Ulcerative keratitis can occur. Patients should be monitored for resolution of epithelial defects.
The most common ocular adverse reaction was corneal opacity (haze). Other ocular side effects include punctate keratitis, corneal striae, dry eye, corneal epithelial defect, eye pain, light sensitivity, reduced visual acuity, and blurred vision.
These are not all of the side effects of the corneal collagen cross-linking treatment. For more information, go to www.livingwithkeratoconus.com to obtain the FDA-approved product labeling.

You are encouraged to report all side effects to the FDA. Visit www.fda.gov/medwatch, or call 1-800-FDA-1088.

*Photrexa® Viscous and Photrexa® are manufactured for Avedro. The KXL® System is manufactured by Avedro.
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Fore!
Musings on life, ophthalmology and the practice of medicine.

Mark H. Blecher
Chief Medical Editor

Ophthalmologists, as a specialty, consider themselves to be detail-oriented perfectionists. We’re proud of that. We’re concerned with mastering our craft through meticulous repetition. The oft-asked questions are: How many cataracts have you done? How many injections? How many tube shunts? etc. It starts with residency interviews, and then continues through our training years and in our quest for a job. Numbers frequently mean money, but they also represent your level of skill, and the phrase “practice makes perfect” should have been written about ophthalmologists. Once mastered, the ability to reproduce these perfect results time after time, eye after eye, is the hallmark of a skilled surgeon. As I used to say to my residents when teaching cataract surgery: “Perfect rice every time.” (OK, I had to stop saying that since no one had a clue that I was comparing their surgery to an instant-rice commercial from the ’60s.) Anyway, here we are as a profession, still demanding perfect rice.

By now you likely have no idea where I’m going with this, so I’ll tell you: Golf. That game where you whack at a little ball and almost always underperform your own expectations. The opposite of perfect rice every time, eye after eye, is the hallmark of a skilled surgeon. As I used to say to my residents when teaching cataract surgery: “Perfect rice every time.” (OK, I had to stop saying that since no one had a clue that I was comparing their surgery to an instant-rice commercial from the ’60s.) Anyway, here we are as a profession, still demanding perfect rice.

For the reasons stated above, as well as a disinclination to embarrass myself in public, I’ve resisted learning how to play the game. Despite my friends’ and colleagues’ recent suggestions that I now take it up, I’m still not sure it’s a good avocation for me. What purpose will it serve, other than killing time? Is it exercise? Not really. Is it relaxing? Maybe—but, then again, I’m pretty type A. Is it a good reason to get outdoors? Probably, but I’ve also got chores galore waiting for me in my yard. My biggest question is: Can I get really good at it? Because that’s what being an ophthalmologist is all about.

Maybe, however, the fact that golf eludes perfection is precisely why I should play it. In my second act—Mark 2.0—I’m working on being less intense, less unforgiving of myself and others, and more accepting of imperfection. Maybe all of life’s not about perfect rice.

Most importantly though, I’m trying to be present. A few years ago, I was supervising the resident clinic. It was the usual madhouse, but the chief resident was overseeing the chaos with his usual good nature and preternatural calm. I admired that and asked him how he did it. Aside from his having good genes, he referred me to Eckhart Tolle’s book “The Power of Now,” with which many of you are no doubt familiar. The book was an amazing read and helped me to begin to understand the value of being “in the moment,” which is now almost a cliché admonition for surviving modern life. Enlightening? Yes … but oh-so-difficult to achieve.

There are many ways to get there, to be present in the moment, and one size doesn’t fit all. For some, its meditation. Others choose yoga. For others, perhaps, it could be golf. Yes, golf. As I contemplate what truly useful reason I might have to take up the game, it occurs to me that you can’t play golf without being there, in the moment. And while I would be hard pressed to ascribe a higher calling to the game, it could provide the opportunity to focus on the present—and perhaps to be taken down a peg or two and be left without artifice or pretense. No perfect rice on a golf course. It might be just what the doctor ordered … just not on Wednesdays.
TECNIS Synergy™ IOL
A Breakthrough Innovation

Going beyond the limits of current trifocals.

Widest range of continuous vision**
with best near†

Superior image contrast† day and night, delivering vision that patients can trust

Excellent patient outcomes so they can enjoy the moments that matter most††

*  vs. AcrySof® IQ PanOptix®, TECNIS Symfony™, TECNIS® Multifocal. Based on comparison of DFU defocus curves and head to head clinical study vs. PanOptix.
** Continuous 20/32 or better
†  vs. PanOptix® IOL
†† Based on interim 6-months post-operative data

INDICATIONS and IMPORTANT SAFETY INFORMATION FOR TECNIS Synergy™ IOL with TECNIS Simplicity® Delivery System, Model DFR00V and TECNIS Synergy™ Toric II IOL with TECNIS Simplicity® Delivery System, Models DFW160, DFW225, DFW300, DFW375

Rx Only

INDICATIONS: The TECNIS Simplicity® Delivery System is used to fold and assist in inserting the TECNIS Synergy™ IOL which is indicated for primary implantation for the visual correction of aphakia in adult patients, with less than 1 diopter of pre-existing corneal astigmatism, in whom a cataractous lens has been removed. The TECNIS Simplicity® Delivery System is used to fold and assist in inserting the TECNIS Synergy™ Toric IOLs that are indicated for primary implantation for the visual correction of aphakia and for reduction of refractive astigmatism in adult patients with greater than or equal to 1 diopter of preoperative corneal astigmatism, in whom a cataractous lens has been removed. Compared to an aspheric monofocal lens, the TECNIS Synergy™ IOLs mitigate the effects of presbyopia by providing improved visual acuity at intermediate and near distances to reduce eyeglass wear, while maintaining comparable distance visual acuity. The lens is intended for capsular bag placement only. Warnings: Intraocular lenses may exacerbate an existing condition, may interfere with diagnosis or treatment of a condition or may pose an unreasonable risk to the eyesight of patients. Patients should have well-defined visual needs and be informed of possible visual effects (such as a perception of halo, starburst or glare around lights), which may be expected in nighttime or poor visibility conditions. Patients may perceive these visual effects as bothersome, which, on rare occasions, may be severe enough for the patient to request removal of the IOL. The physician should carefully weigh the potential risks and benefits for each patient. Patients with a predicted postoperative residual astigmatism greater than 1.0 diopter, with or without a toric lens, may not fully benefit in terms of reducing spectacle wear.
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Fitting PRK into Your Surgical Plan

Surgeons detail the patients who benefit most from PRK and share tips for managing postop discomfort.

Although LASIK is still the king of refractive surgery in general, PRK still has a significant place in today’s mix of refractive surgery procedures for the foreseeable future—and is sometimes used even more often than before. In this article, surgeons explain how they position the procedure and why they rely on it in a variety of cases. They also offer advice to help you determine which patients benefit the most from the procedure.

One and Only

Farrell C. Tyson, MD, FACS, of Tyson Eye in Cape Coral, Florida, knows of surgeons in his region who offer PRK as their only form of refractive surgery. “We’ve seen a number of colleagues go full circle,” he says. “I believe they don’t want to deal with flap complications. Plus, PRK is more cost-effective. We see practices promote it as ‘bladeless laser vision correction,’ giving it the aura of a new innovation.”

Not everyone agrees with this approach, however. Unlike some refractive surgeons, Arturo S. Chayet, MD, the medical director of Codet Vision Institute, Tijuana, Mexico, doesn’t think the term PRK stigmatizes the procedure or suggests that it’s outdated, even though the procedure is more than 25 years old. “To call PRK by an alternative name, such as advanced surface ablation or bladeless refractive surgery, is a big mistake, creating confusion,” he says. “We always call it PRK at our practice. We make sure the patient understands that we offer state-of-the-art PRK and state-of-the-art LASIK.”

At Tyson Eye, meanwhile, Dr. Tyson also sticks to the PRK label, using the procedure in about 5 percent of all of the refractive surgeries he performs. Generally, he says he reserves it for conditions that are causing irregular corneas, such as epithelial basement membrane dystrophy. Besides creating a refractive result, he notes that PRK on these patients enables him to buff down irregularities in the anterior corneal layer.

“One recent case we had is an example of when PRK can be most helpful,” says Dr. Tyson. “We did keratometry, and you could see slight steepening of the cornea, inferiorly. That’s when I decided to switch to PRK. The patient in question had forme fruste keratoconus.”

Deciding Between LASIK and PRK

Many refractive surgeons lean toward LASIK. “Recovery from LASIK is a lot nicer and slicker than PRK,” says William Bond, MD, FASC, medical director of Bond Eye Associates in Peoria and Pekin, Illinois. “But there’s always that moment of truth when you’re making the flap. You’ve got to get the eye more wide-open. And there’s the possibility of a flap problem or some complication that you just won’t get with PRK. For example, I’ll choose PRK for the patient with a small orbit, where the strong bone structure is in the way and I can’t get the eye open enough. That makes me worry that I’m going to have a problem with suction.”

Also, he continues, “I watch for a residual bed that’s too thin for LASIK. We have to keep in mind that the flap doesn’t contribute any structural strength to the cornea. Therefore, if you have too thin of a cornea or too high of a correction, PRK is a lot safer. Some conventional wisdom also suggests ectasia is less likely with PRK in certain cases. For example, if someone has a slightly irregular
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corneal map, you might want to slant toward PRK, considering that PRK will help you avoid ectasia in some cases.

“Can minute differences in the maps really suggest a risk of ectasia? It’s debatable, but I like to play it safe. You should be doing what you’d do if you were the patient. Taking away less tissue with PRK, possibly staying on slightly stronger legal ground, is also not a bad idea.”

Dr. Bond says he performs 500 to 1,000 refractive surgery procedures per year, including 15 to 35 percent that turn into PRKs. “I typically do PRK about 20 percent of the time,” he notes. “You have patients who can’t hold still, or a double-digit myope whose cornea isn’t overly thick. Sometimes, I have a little problem with a flap; after that, I might use PRK, in as many as one-third of my procedures. On the other hand, you’ll get a patient who has a very difficult time healing after surface ablation and experiences a lot of pain. An experience like that may push my PRK percentage back down. It’s like baseball. You’re only as good as your last time up at bat, and you’re always trying to figure out your best approach and learn as you go.”

Making sure patients fit the right profile for LASIK or PRK is also important, he adds. “Make them aware of the increased stability of refractive surgery without a flap (especially in the event of trauma),” he says. “I try to help them project into the future to determine if they just might end up doing something career- or lifestyle-wise that would not be good for a LASIK patient. Despite all of your counseling, though, you need to leave the decision to the patient. I’ve done LASIK on rodeo clowns that came to us as a group. That’s what they wanted, despite the risks that I reviewed with them.”

Dr. Bond notes that he only rarely considers implanting phakic intraocular lenses. “I’ve done them, yes, and I’ve had good luck doing them,” he recalls. “However, you raise the risk of more serious complications in these cases. With PRK, your complications are completely outside the eye. With LASIK, you could see issues involving the flap. If you put an ICL into a 22-year-old patient, however, you could cause a cataract or endophthalmitis or some other problem. You’ve moved up to your bigger-league complications, whether they’re common or not.”

**Conservative Approaches**

Like Dr. Bond, many other surgeons prefer to err on the side of caution. As a surgeon who doesn’t focus primarily on refractive surgery, corneal specialist Brandon Ayres, MD, is one of these doctors. “For any patient with a corneal thickness of 500 µm or less, even if the safety parameters for doing LASIK are met, I consider the cornea thinner than usual and I’ll do PRK,” says Dr. Ayres, co-director of the Cornea Fellowship at Wills and an instructor at Jefferson Medical College, Thomas Jefferson University in Philadelphia. “That’s purely my own rule. I don’t know if there’s any substance behind it. I just sleep better if I know I’m being safer for this patient.”

Penny A. Asbell, MD, FACS, MBA, FARVO, Barrett G. Haik Endowed Chair for Ophthalmology in the College of Medicine at the University of Tennessee Health Science Center, says many patients favor LASIK “because it provides a relatively quick visual recovery and because of the reduced discomfort initially. However, at six months, most of the reported results of LASIK and PRK are pretty equal in terms of vision. Deciding which type of surgery to perform has to do more with what you, as the surgeon, are comfortable doing. If you like LASIK and do a lot of LASIK, fine. However, LASIK as a technique poses more risk than surface ablation. If you don’t do a lot of refractive surgery because that’s not your primary focus in your practice,
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it’s probably less risky to do PRK, in terms of avoiding something major going wrong. You can go either way and, of course, you want to be able to do both because there are some patients who may require one or the other, depending on your findings and their preferences.”

Dr. Chayet is a high-volume cataract and refractive surgeon who performs PRK if he sees any risks that could arise from lamellar incisional surgery, including LASIK and SMILE. This amounts to about 20 percent of his cases.

“For example, PRK may be indicated in patients with non-orthogonal asymmetric astigmatism,” he says. “This would be on a case-by-case basis, not always. I look for asymmetric thickness maps or abnormal epithelial maps. If you have the luxury of using some of the devices that provide biomechanical analysis, such as a Pentacam and keratoconus-screening software, you can detect these issues. Any time you have a thin cornea, you should be thinking about performing PRK on that patient. There are very few reports of ectasia following PRK.”

When new patients call his practice, inquiring about any form of refractive surgery (typically LASIK), Dr. Chayet’s staff reviews both PRK and LASIK with them. “There are no differences between these procedures as they’re presented to our patients,” he says. “They’re priced the same and equally effective. If patients want to have LASIK as a first choice, we will offer them LASIK if they’re good candidates. But if there’s anything that gives us concern about doing LASIK, then we’ll offer PRK as the first choice. The only consideration we have to keep in mind, which may encourage more patients to undergo LASIK if they’re good candidates, is the longer healing time and brief period of discomfort after PRK.”

Discomfort and Healing

Surgeons say they use varied approaches to minimize post-PRK discomfort, safeguard against infection and promote wound healing. Among the possibilities are bandage contact lenses, NSAIDs, topical antibiotics, topical steroids, ketorolac tromethamine ophthalmic solution, topical cyclosporine, preservative-free tears, oral steroids and oral analgesics (such as hydrocodone bitartrate/acetaminophen).

Dr. Chayet says he’s never used NSAIDs on the wound surface. “I don’t recommend using those agents,” he says. “We also don’t use anesthetics. Instead, we apply bandage contact lenses and topical steroids. We’ll also provide a non-opioid oral analgesic, such as oral ketorolac tromethamine (Toradol), and we don’t use alcohol on the surface. Patients have some discomfort for about two days. We tell them before the procedure what the postop period will be like, ensuring realistic expectations. Discomfort and longer healing time haven’t really been issues in more than 90 percent of our patients. Most don’t complain, and they’re satisfied with the results of their surgeries.”

Meanwhile, Dr. Chayet says he prevents corneal haze after performing PRK by treating his patients with the antimetabolite mitomycin-C for 20 seconds. “I only use it for ablations of more than 70 µm or in cases when I feel there’s a higher chance of post-PRK haze,” he says. He keeps the MMC away from the limbus to avoid toxicity and concentrates it on the central cornea. “I haven’t encountered any problems with this approach,” he adds.

Dr. Chayet acknowledges that PRK still has its drawbacks, even among the best candidates. “We can’t give PRK patients opioids in Mexico, so we’re limited in that way,” he says. “Ketorolac still isn’t perfect, and we’re always looking for other solutions. There’s interest in developing better topical analgesia, which hopefully we can use some day without interfering with re-epithelialization of the treated area of the cornea.”
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Divining IOL Power Preoperatively

The technologies and techniques surgeons use to predict the best lens power.

Refactivc cataract surgery is an ever-evolving field. Biometric measurements are getting more accurate; our understanding of which anatomic factors affect lens position continues to improve; patient expectations continue to increase; and formulas for calculating lens power keep getting more sophisticated—and are starting to rely on artificial intelligence.

Here, experts share the latest information and advice.

Which Optical Biometer?
With many optical biometers available, does it matter which one you use? “Today we have a dozen different types of biometry units that can provide reliable information, including the recently introduced Heidelberg Anterion and the Alcon Argos,” notes Terrence P. O’Brien, MD, the Charlotte Breyer Rogers Distinguished Chair in Ophthalmology and director of the Refractive Surgery Service at Bascom Palmer Eye Institute of the Palm Beaches. “That’s good, because competition has forced manufacturers to keep improving their instruments.

“Here at Bascom Palmer we’re fortunate to have multiple instruments available,” he says. “In our experience, these modern biometers are all very accurate in terms of measuring axial length, with a very small standard deviation. However, there’s still room for improvement when measuring corneal power—we do see some disagreement there.”

Uday Devgan, MD, FACS, FRCS, chief of ophthalmology at Olive View UCLA Medical Center, a clinical professor at the UCLA School of Medicine, and in private practice at Devgan Eye Surgery in Los Angeles, says he doesn’t believe that one optical biometer will produce significantly better outcomes than another. “Doing the best possible lens power calculation is more important,” he says. “The difference in axial length measurements between machines is on the order of one or two hundredths of a millimeter—about 10 or 20 µm. Even if two biometers come up with slightly different axial length measurements, the difference will be negligible. The lens power’s going to end up the same.

“Some instruments make additional measurements, such as Zeiss’s True Cornea measurement,” he continues. “That could make a difference for some patients, but I’m not convinced it will make a significant difference overall. Comparing the optical biometers is like comparing a Mercedes, a BMW and an Audi. You may prefer one over another, but they’re pretty similar. Getting the lens power calculations right will make a much bigger difference than refining those measurements or adding new ones.”

Making Sense of the Formulas
“It’s somewhat daunting to figure out which formula will give you the most reliable data for IOL power and toric placement,” notes Dr. O’Brien. “For practical reasons, I understand that many colleagues use one or two formulas exclusively; some will only check multiple formulas if the axial length is unusually...
long or short. In any case, the available formulas are improving over time. The Barrett Universal was a game changer for many people; that’s one that some surgeons have migrated to as their only formula. Warren Hill has the RBF, which is excellent, and he constantly refines it as he gets more data.

“I still enter my data into several formulas and compare the results,” he continues. “In addition to the Barrett and Hill RBF, I learned a lot from Dr. Jack Holladay, and I still use his Holladay 1 and 2 formulas. For me, just seeing the variability between formula results allows me to start zeroing in on where I want to be. A lot of variability can alert me that there may be something abnormal, such as a shallow anterior chamber or a dense cataract. You can also use the results from multiple formulas to help educate the patient. The fact that the formulas are not totally in agreement helps to keep the patient’s expectations realistic.”

Dr. O’Brien says that if he gets widely variable answers from different formulas, he goes back and looks at the raw data to make sure there wasn’t a problem. “For example, we take multiple measurements using topography and other devices such as the Pentacam,” he says. “If a Pentacam taken on one day and an optical biometry scan taken on another day are quite different, that makes me wonder if the patient’s eyes were drier on one day than the other.

“If you don’t want to use more than one or two formulas,” he adds, “I’d suggest plugging your data into the Barrett Universal 2 and the Hill RBF. If those two are in reasonable agreement, you can be pretty confident your refractive outcome will be close to what you anticipated.”

To understand why some formulas are more successful with certain eyes, it helps to know a little about the evolution of these formulas. Jack Holladay, MD, MSEE, FACS, president of Holladay Consulting in Houston and the developer of the Holladay 1, 2 and Refractive Formulas, explains that a number of factors led to many early formulas having trouble avoiding refractive surprises in long or short eyes. “When optical biometry began to appear around 2000, Wolfgang Haigis, PhD, was very instrumental in the calibration of the IOLMaster,” Dr. Holladay says. “He measured thousands of eyes to accomplish this, but none of them were longer than 26 mm, and only a few were shorter than about 20 mm. So he extrapolated his measurement curves in both directions.

“The problem with extrapolation,” Dr. Holladay continues, “is that it’s not based on data. All of the early formulas were developed using ultrasound-measured Ks. When the IOLMaster came out in 2000, clinicians began to switch to that, but nobody realized that Wolfgang had extrapolated those long- and short-eye values. Then about 10 years ago, Doug Koch, MD, and Li Wang, MD, showed that the measurement conversion between ultrasound and optical biometry isn’t a straight line. For example, someone with a long eye might get a 36-mm measurement using the IOLMaster, while ultrasound would measure that eye as 34 mm—the correct measurement. The error gets progressively worse the longer the eye. Meanwhile, the other optical biometers were calibrated to the IOLMaster, so they all had the same problem.”
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“Drs. Koch and Wang then published a regression that can be used to correct for this error,” he adds. “Today, the more recent formulas, such as the Barrett, Olsen and Holladay 2, compensate for this, so clinicians don’t need to use a conversion factor to compensate for it themselves.”

**Office Setup for Success**

Surgeons offers these suggestions to help ensure better outcomes:

- **Take the time to learn your biometry machine.** Dr. O’Brien points out that many clinicians don’t really know much about the details of using the optical biometers. “Most of us haven’t even learned the basics of what they can do—and more importantly, what it looks like when they don’t do things properly,” he says. “That’s why we have our fellows work with experienced technicians and do biometry on a few patients from scratch. We want them to appreciate all of the steps along the way, and the variables that can lead to less-precise data. Most of our fellows tell us later that it was a really valuable experience.”

- **Train several technicians to be experts.** “Many practices will designate one person to do this, and cross-train someone else as a backup person,” notes Dr. O’Brien. “The thing is, you need to have a technician who is so familiar with the test that he or she can perform it in their sleep. Then, when something in the data is off, they sense right away that it may be unreliable.

  “I suggest training several people to become real experts in using whichever biometer your practice has,” he concludes. “That’s the only way to ensure that all readings are of acceptable quality.

- **Use the latest technology.** “Our IOLMaster 700 provides an OCT of the macula along with the data,” Dr. O’Brien points out. “That allows us to confirm that the patient was properly fixating, and we can see the fovea and make sure the contour is correct. We also may detect pathology such as an epiretinal membrane, vitreomacular traction or cystoid macular edema.”

- **Be alert for potentially problematic patients.** “Extreme refractive errors are a red flag,” Dr. O’Brien notes. “Someone who’s a -18-D myope is likely to have very abnormally long axial length and possibly a staphyloma. In those cases, you’re going to want to get not only A-scan but B-scan ultrasound readings to make sure you’re measuring properly, and not measuring in the canyon of a staphyloma in the posterior segment. In eyes that are challenging—the high myopes, extreme hyperopes, and patients who’ve had prior LASIK or RK—more information is better.”

**Getting Accurate Measurements**

These tips can help:

- **Always measure both eyes.** “This is important because the second eye serves as a check for the first eye,” notes Dr. Devgan. “The eyes should produce similar physical measurements; if they don’t, you need to stop and look carefully at how the data was collected and the condition of the eyes.”

- **If you need to use ultrasound, measure both eyes and compare the result to your optical biometry measurement in the better eye.** “Some patients have such a dense cataract in one eye that you can’t get an optical biometry measurement because light can’t get through,” notes Dr. Devgan. “In that situation, you have to do an A-scan ultrasound. Here again, you want to take this measurement in both eyes. If the measurements are close, that’s a good sign that the measurements are accurate. But even more important, if the other eye can be measured with optical biometry, you can compare the A-scan measurement to the optical measurement. If they agree, that tells you that your A-scan technique was good.”

- **Make sure the ocular surface is pristine.** “Getting an accurate corneal power is especially important because it changes the lens power in almost a one-to-one ratio,” Dr. Devgan points out. “If your corneal measurement is off by 1 D, your lens power will be off by 1 D—and the measured corneal power can be drastically different if the ocular surface isn’t in good shape.

  “A problem could be as simple as untreated dry eye,” he continues. “It could be epithelial basement membrane dystrophy; it could be a pterygium on the cornea; it could be someone who wears contact lenses full time and just removed them five minutes before your exam, leaving the cornea warped. All of these can play a role in the accuracy of the K-reading, and that can change your lens calculation dramatically.”

  “You probably don’t need to treat every patient’s ocular surface,” notes Dr. O’Brien. “However, you should check for surface problems in every patient—especially those who may be at higher risk because of age, gender, prior eyelid surgery or systemic medications known to adversely affect tear production or the ocular surface, as well as those patients who’ve had prior refractive surgery, which can affect innervation and corneal sensation. And you should have a low threshold for treatment; if you find something that’s less than ideal, take the time to address it before now.”
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taking the biometry measurements. Treating the ocular surface for a few weeks is preferable to having a postoperative surprise.”

• **Take your measurements on an eye that has’t yet been touched.** “In some practices, a patient’s IOP is checked before the measurements are taken for the IOL power calculations,” notes Dr. Devgan. “That’s a mistake. Getting the IOP involves touching the eye and disturbing the epithelium, and that can alter the corneal measurement you get afterwards, throwing off your lens power calculation. In our clinic, everyone seeing me for a cataract consult has biometry measurements done before entering the exam room to have their vision and pressure checked.”

**Verifying Data Quality**

“When people refer patients to me because of a poor outcome, the biggest mistake often turns out to be the quality of the individual measurements on which the lens choice was based,” says Dr. O’Brien. “I think it’s extremely important for surgeons to verify that the quality of the data is good—especially the corneal power reading. There are a number of ways to do this:

- **Look at the reflection of the LED mires on the cornea.** If they’re not sharp, you’re going to have garbage in, garbage out,” Dr. O’Brien says.
- **Check the standard deviation.** These devices also give us a standard deviation for each measurement,” notes Dr. O’Brien. “That helps us know if it falls within acceptable limits. For corneal power, we say a standard deviation greater than 0.3 D is a red flag: when determining the meridian for astigmatism, a standard deviation greater than 3.5 degrees is a red flag. In my experience the IOLMaster 700 and the Lenstar measure the axial length very precisely, and in most patients the corneal power is within those parameters as well. However, you have to check to be sure.”
- **Get a second measurement.**

“This is another way to verify the accuracy of the measurement if there’s any doubt about it,” says Dr. O’Brien. “If possible, I’ll use a second instrument. However, even two measurements from the same device can be valuable, especially if you take them on two different occasions. Changing factors—such as the condition of the patient’s ocular surface—can affect the reading. Once you have a second reading, check to verify the consistency of the data. This is especially helpful if you’re implanting a toric lens.”

**Choosing the Right Lens Power**

Surgeons offer these tips to minimize postoperative refractive surprises:

- **If choosing between two lens powers, err on the side of myopia.** “If you’re faced with two slightly different power calculation outcomes—or you have one answer that falls between two lens powers—choose the higher lens power,” says Dr. Devgan. “That way, if you’re off, you’ll err on the side of slight myopia. A small amount of myopia postop isn’t a bad thing; it increases your range of vision in the intermediate zone.

“The other reason for doing this is the ease of resolving a problem if you end up with one,” he continues. “Let’s say you do end up with a myopic outcome; you aimed for plano and ended up at -1 D. If the patient doesn’t like being-1 D, that’s a slam dunk to fix, an easy LASIK or PRK treatment. But if you aim for plano and end up +1, hyperopic PRK or LASIK isn’t nearly as stable or accurate as myopic PRK or LASIK. Myopic PRK or LASIK takes away central corneal tissue, but hyperopic LASIK or PRK steepens the central cornea by lasering away a doughnut shaped section of tissue. That’s much less accurate.”

- **When dealing with eyes that have had previous refractive surgery, consider using the ASCRS website calculator.** “Today it’s quite common to do cataract surgery on eyes with prior LASIK, PRK or even (Continued on p. 59)
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Scratching the Surface of Abnormal Corneas

Treating irregular astigmatism is an art. Experts offer guidance for performing the most cutting-edge laser procedure.

Today’s patient population of irregular astigmats differs from 10 or 15 years ago, when the bulk of cases were caused by previous refractive surgery. “In the early days, the laser technology wasn’t as good and there were misconceptions about eye registration,” says Aleksandar Stojanovic, MD, PhD, medical director of SynsLaser Clinic in Tromsø and Oslo, Norway. “For example, hyperopes usually have a big discrepancy between the center of the pupil and optical center of the cornea. As a rule, patients use their optical center for vision, so centering the laser on the center of the pupil caused irregular optics for those eyes. This generated a large number of irregular astigmatism cases. Now, we tend to see more ectatic causes.”

Here, experts break down irregular astigmatism management, from making the diagnosis to performing off-label topography-guided PRK.

Preference for Topo-guided
Dr. Stojanovic, the ophthalmologist responsible for introducing LASIK and cTEN to Norway, says he uses both placido-disc-guided and Scheimpflug-guided topography for treating irregular astigmatism. “My American colleagues use TG-PRK as well, always off-label, because there’s no other way to correct the corneal optics,” he says. “The aim of TG-PRK is primarily to correct the astigmatism to the degree that the patient isn’t bothered by visual disturbances, and to increase as much as possible their corrected visual acuity. Wavefront-guided isn’t good enough because we don’t get enough data to base our treatment on.”

Most surgeons prefer topography-guided to wavefront-guided treatments in irregular corneas for this very reason. “Most wavefront-guided machines measure about 1,200 to 1,300 data points, whereas topography-guided machines can measure more than 20,000 data points,” explains Raymond Stein, MD, FRCSC, medical director of the Bochner Eye Institute in Toronto, Ontario, and professor of ophthalmology and vision sciences at the University of Toronto. “This means less interpolation between the collected data points, giving us greater treatment potential. We can address variations in corneal elevation more precisely by flattening steep areas and steepening flat areas by certain amounts. This spares tissue, which is important since we’re often dealing with corneas of abnormal thickness.”

He adds that unlike in standard PRK, iris or limbal registration is used in topography-guided treatments. “This can be very helpful in terms of compensating for cyclo-torsion, ensuring the treatment is exactly as the surgeon intends,” he says.

Is It Ectasia?
Distinguishing between ectatic conditions (e.g., keratoconus, pellucid marginal degeneration or ectasia after LVC) and non-ectatic conditions is key, says Dr. Stein. He explains that non-ectatic conditions such as EBMD, amiodarone keratopathy and Salzmann’s nodular degeneration are contraindications for TG-PRK, because performing TG-PRK on a non-ectatic eye can
induce more corneal irregularity. “Patients with pseudokeratoconic presentations secondary to a non-ectatic condition typically have a normal stroma, with only an irregular epithelium,” he says. “If you go in with TG-PRK, once you remove the epithelium, you’ll induce irregularity into the cornea.

“Instead, we treat EMBD and Salzman’s with a superficial keratectomy, which is essentially epithelial debridement,” he says. “We remove the irregular epithelium; this can regularize the cornea and improve vision. Other secondary causes such as a superficial punctate keratopathy secondary to dry eye or a blepharokeratitis are treated aggressively, usually with lid management and preservative-free artificial tears.”

He stresses careful interpretation of topographic maps to make the correct diagnosis. “Identifying the etiology requires corneal topography or tomography and a complete ophthalmic examination,” he says. “Anterior curvature changes without significant posterior elevation on tomography are suggestive of non-ectatic corneas. Slit lamp exams may also reveal the underlying cause of corneal steepening.”

Astigmatic Origins
Dr. Stojanovic says a high-quality preoperative exam is the most important part of the procedure, followed by evaluating the results and knowing how to use them. “The actual ablation is very straightforward,” he says.

Astigmatism is complex. “You need to know where the astigmatism comes from,” he explains. “Your approach will depend on the origin. Additionally, we need to know the higher order aberrations influencing the patient’s manifest refraction. We can’t treat only the astigmatism measured on the exam and then treat the HOAs. We must use the astigmatism measured amidst the HOAs in a mixture of totals, so we can isolate the astigmatism component from the HOAs. Using wavefront aberrometry to get the optics for the whole eye, we can then subtract lenticular optics and look only at corneal optics.”

Robert M. Kershner, MD, MS, FACS, a professor and chairman of the Department of Ophthalmic Medical Technology at Palm Beach State College and president and CEO of Eye Laser Consulting Global in Palm Beach Gardens, Florida, points out that just because aberrations are present doesn’t necessarily mean they need correcting, if the patient’s functional vision is good. “It’s never as simple as creating a perfect optical system so the patient sees clearly,” says Dr. Kershner.

“I’ve examined Air Force pilots with perfect vision and found their optical systems chock full of aberrations. Sometimes correcting the irregularities we see isn’t beneficial to the patient’s optical outcome. We also need to be careful about where those aberrations are.

“When you do a Shack-Hartmann wavefront analysis and look at the various levels of HOAs, you can prove that these optical aberrations are present. What you don’t know is where in the optical system they reside,” he explains. “The shape of the fundus, the anterior and posterior lens, the inner and outer cornea and the scleral shell may all be contributing to the aberrations. In phakic patients, it’s very difficult to parse out what’s lenticular and what’s fundus.”

“Typically when we measure astigmatism on the cornea and...
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Proven first-line efficacy

- Powerful efficacy and robust anatomic outcomes across all indications as shown in phase 3 clinical trials1-4
- A broad range of indications and dosing flexibility across several FDA-approved indications1

Demonstrated safety profile

- Demonstrated safety profile across 4 VEGF-driven retinal diseases: Wet AMD, DR, DME, and MEfRVO1

A legacy of clinical experience

- 9 years of extensive real-world experience1
- ≈13 million doses administered to >1 million eyes since launch (and counting)9
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A COMPREHENSIVE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM TO HELP FACilitATE ACCESS TO EYLEA

- 82% of payers offer access to EYLEA first line, covering >272 million patients9
- As of June 30, 2020, EYLEA4U® has provided >4.4 million total support services to eligible patients prescribed EYLEA9

1 Data represent payers across the following channels: Medicare Part B, Commercial, Medicare Advantage, and VA. Individual patient coverage is subject to patient’s specific plan.
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anti-VEGF, anti-vascular endothelial growth factor.

ADVERSE REACTIONS

- Serious adverse reactions related to the injection procedure have occurred in <0.1% of intravitreal injections with EYLEA including endophthalmitis and retinal detachment.
- The most common adverse reactions (≥5%) reported in patients receiving EYLEA were conjunctival hemorrhage, eye pain, cataract, vitreous detachment, vitreous floaters, and intraocular pressure increased.
- Patients may experience temporary visual disturbances after an intravitreal injection with EYLEA and the associated eye examinations. Advise patients not to drive or use machinery until visual function has recovered sufficiently.

INDICATIONS

EYLEA® (aflibercept) Injection 2 mg (0.05 mL) is indicated for the treatment of patients with Neovascular (Wet) Age-related Macular Degeneration (AMD), Macular Edema following Retinal Vein Occlusion (RVO), Diabetic Macular Edema (DME), and Diabetic Retinopathy (DR).
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**INDICATIONS AND USAGE**
EYLEA is a vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibitor indicated for the treatment of patients with:
- Neovascular (Wet) Age-Related Macular Degeneration (AMD), Macular Edema Following Retinal Vein Occlusion (RVO), Diabetic Macular Edema (DME), Diabetic Retinopathy (DR).

**CONTRAINDICATIONS**
1. Active Ocular Inflammation
2. Hypersensitivity to aflibercept or any of the excipients in EYLEA.
3. Active Ocular Inflammation
4. Hypersensitivity
5. Endothelialitis and Retinal Detachments
6. Intraocular Pressure

**WARNINGs AND PRECAUTIONS**
- There is a potential risk of arterial thromboembolic events (ATEs) following intravitreal use of VEGF inhibitors, including EYLEA. ATEs should be managed appropriately.
- Patients should be monitored for acute increases in intraocular pressure due to the potential for myopic shift and posterior staphyloma.
- Patients should be monitored for acute increases in intraocular pressure due to the potential for myopic shift and posterior staphyloma.
- Patients should be monitored for acute increases in intraocular pressure due to the potential for myopic shift and posterior staphyloma.
- Patients should be monitored for acute increases in intraocular pressure due to the potential for myopic shift and posterior staphyloma.

**ADVERSE REACTIONS**
- The following serious adverse reactions have been reported in patients treated with EYLEA:
- Hypersensitivity
- Retinal detachment
- Retinal tear
- Endophthalmitis and Retinal Detachments
- Hypertension
- Conjunctival hemorrhage
- Eye pain
- Cataract
- Vitreous detachment
- Vitreous floaters
- Eyelid edema
- Intraocular inflammation
- Injection site pain
- Contact lens intolerance
- Lack of adequate lid closure

**ADVERSE REACTIONS**
- The following adverse reactions are described in relatively large patient series:
- Hypersensitivity
- Retinal detachment
- Conjunctival hemorrhage
- Eyelid edema
- Injection site pain
- Contact lens intolerance
- Lack of adequate lid closure

**USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS**
- Pregnancy
- Lactation
- Females and Males of Reproductive Potential
- Pediatric Use
- Patients with or without immunoreactivity.
- The detection of an immune response is highly dependent on the assay conditions, the use of positive and negative controls, and the experience of the laboratory personnel.
- The data described below reflect exposure to EYLEA in 218 patients with wet AMD, including 122 patients treated with the 2-mg dose in 2 double-masked, controlled clinical studies (VIEW1 and VIEW2) for an average period of 49 weeks (with a maximum of 52 weeks).

**Table 2: Most Common Adverse Reactions (≥5%) in Wet AMD Studies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Reactions</th>
<th>EYLEA (N=218)</th>
<th>Control (N=287)</th>
<th>Baseline to Week 52</th>
<th>Baseline to Week 96</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypersensitivity</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal detachment</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctival hemorrhage</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyelid edema</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection site pain</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact lens intolerance</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: Most Common Adverse Reactions (≥5%) in DME Studies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Reactions</th>
<th>EYLEA (N=1824)</th>
<th>Control (N=1824)</th>
<th>Baseline to Week 52</th>
<th>Baseline to Week 100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypersensitivity</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal detachment</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctival hemorrhage</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyelid edema</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection site pain</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact lens intolerance</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4: Most Common Adverse Reactions (≥5%) in DME Studies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Reactions</th>
<th>EYLEA (N=1824)</th>
<th>Control (N=1824)</th>
<th>Baseline to Week 52</th>
<th>Baseline to Week 100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypersensitivity</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal detachment</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctival hemorrhage</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyelid edema</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection site pain</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact lens intolerance</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5: Most Common Adverse Reactions (≥5%) in Wet AMD Studies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Reactions</th>
<th>EYLEA (N=218)</th>
<th>Control (N=287)</th>
<th>Baseline to Week 52</th>
<th>Baseline to Week 96</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypersensitivity</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal detachment</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctival hemorrhage</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyelid edema</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection site pain</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact lens intolerance</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6: Most Common Adverse Reactions (≥5%) in DME Studies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Reactions</th>
<th>EYLEA (N=1824)</th>
<th>Control (N=1824)</th>
<th>Baseline to Week 52</th>
<th>Baseline to Week 100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypersensitivity</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal detachment</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctival hemorrhage</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyelid edema</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection site pain</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact lens intolerance</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 7: Most Common Adverse Reactions (≥5%) in Wet AMD Studies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Reactions</th>
<th>EYLEA (N=218)</th>
<th>Control (N=287)</th>
<th>Baseline to Week 52</th>
<th>Baseline to Week 96</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypersensitivity</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal detachment</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctival hemorrhage</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyelid edema</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection site pain</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact lens intolerance</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 8: Most Common Adverse Reactions (≥5%) in DME Studies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Reactions</th>
<th>EYLEA (N=1824)</th>
<th>Control (N=1824)</th>
<th>Baseline to Week 52</th>
<th>Baseline to Week 100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypersensitivity</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal detachment</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctival hemorrhage</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyelid edema</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection site pain</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact lens intolerance</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
astigmatism on the cornea and compare it to refractive astigmatism, we find that the cornea can reflect a higher degree of astigmatism in the central 3-mm than the patient actually has optically,” he adds. “However, there’s no absolute correlation between the two.”

**Planning Ablations**

Topography-guided technology has given surgeons access to many more corneal details than before. Using Alcon’s Allegretto excimer laser system, Dr. Stein says he has two options for ablation planning. “One is with a standard software with the Ocularyzer II (WaveLight GmbH, Germany). With this approach, we take eight color images of the cornea and review them. If they’re all good-quality, we take the average and input this data into the laser. The difficulty with this standard treatment is that we never know how much myopia, hyperopia or astigmatism we may induce when we do TG-PRK.

“The other way we plan ablations is with a newer software developed for Contoura (Alcon) by ophthalmologist Mark Lobanoff, MD, in Minneapolis,” he continues. “The Phorctides Analytic Engine accounts for the change in refractive error just by doing the TG-PRK. We can use this in relatively normal corneas as well, for standard and topography-guided LASIK and PRK. The data for this software has shown outstanding outcomes in reducing mild degrees of irregular astigmatism.”

After imaging the cornea, Dr. Stein uses either software to develop a treatment protocol with a certain optical zone. “Generally we like to use a 6- or 6.5-mm optical zone,” he says. “Occasionally, we’ll have to shrink the optical zone to 5.5 or 5 mm, but if we do that, there’s a greater chance of regression. In general, we can use a large optical zone in patients with a dioptic difference of 10 D or less who also have corneas thicker than 460 µm at the thinnest point. We’ve found larger optical zones to be more effective at reducing irregular astigmatism.”

For modern LVC, the optical zone diameter typically falls in the 6- to 6.5-mm range. “The optical zone should be large enough to go beyond the borders of the pupil in low-light situations,” Dr. Kershner says. “When we first began doing refractive surgery, before LASIK, most optical corrections fell into the 5- to 5.5-mm zone, because we assumed the pupil wasn’t going to get much larger than that, except pharmacologically. For most cases that worked.

“Newer lasers now have computer algorithms that can do a blend, which tends to ablate a little more in the center and less in the periphery to maintain the asphericity that’s typical of a human cornea,” he explains. “If you do that, it lessens but doesn’t entirely eliminate the optical aberrations. You’re still doing a refractive correction, i.e., removing tissue within the visual axis, and the element of concern here is the pupil size.”

Low-light situations can dilate a blue-eyed person’s pupil up to 6 or 7 mm—which exceeds the typical optical correction zone—leaving them with unsatisfactory night vision and symptoms such as fuzziness, halo or double images. “A darker pigmented individual might not be as conscious of these problems at night because their pupils don’t dilate as much,” Dr. Kershner says. “That’s one of the drawbacks of surface ablation or excimer laser ablation with LASIK: It’s not a perfect process when you have to remove tissue from the center of the cornea and flatten it. It’s important to inquire about the importance of nighttime vision. Many patients won’t think to share this information unless you ask them directly.”

**Surgery Day**

Dr. Stein’s patients undergo imaging and refraction on the day of surgery, but he remarks that it’s important for contact lens wearers to be out of their contacts for an extended period prior to imaging, so the cornea can revert to its natural shape. He advises a washout period of at least a month for hard lenses, but sometimes longer depending on the number of years of wear. For soft contact lenses, he says one week is typical.

After identifying the optical zone he uses for the ablation, often a 6.5-mm zone with a transition zone to 8.3 mm, he removes the corneal epithelium with a 50-µm phototherapeutic keratectomy. “We typically limit the stromal ablation to 50 µm to allow enough residual thickness for a cross-linking procedure,” he says. “The combination of TG-PRK and CXL has a good chance at reducing irregular astigmatism. However, for patients with irregular astigmatism secondary to radial keratotomy, we tend not to perform CXL with TG-PRK. The success of CXL at decreasing diurnal vision fluctuations has been highly variable in these eyes.”

After the laser ablation, Dr. Stein applies ice to the cornea. “We’ve found this significantly reduces patients’ postoperative pain,” he says. He uses a frozen sponge soaked in BSS as a ‘popsicle’ and applies this to the cornea for 10 seconds.
The ablation profile OS of the patient in Figure 1.

Dr. Stein then dries the cornea and applies a disc containing mitomycin-C for all of his PRK patients, whether they received standard PRK or TG-PRK. “We apply this for about one minute and then remove the disc,” he says. “We’ve found the MMC decreases the incidence of postoperative corneal haze. Then we irrigate the cornea with balanced saline to remove the MMC. IF CXL is indicated, we perform it. Then we instill prednisolone acetate 1% and an antibiotic drop such as moxifloxacin 0.5%. We follow this with a bandage soft contact lens.”

After treatment, he has the patient wait in a recovery room for 10 to 15 minutes before undergoing a slit lamp examination to ensure the contact lens fits properly. “We like to see very little movement of the contact lens,” Dr. Stein says. “If it’s too loose, the patient will be uncomfortable.”

He sends the patient home with a nonsteroidal drop for the first 48 hours, an antibiotic drop to be administered four times daily until the contact lens comes out and a four-times-daily steroid drop, with the dose tapered over one month. He sees the patient back the following day, five days later to remove the bandage contact lens, and then typically at one, three and six months. “At six months we’ll often repeat the tomography, although the epithelium may not be totally mature and changes can occur even at one year,” he notes.

Follow-up
How you define a successful procedure sometimes depends in large part on the patient’s happiness. “The vital sign of the eye is: Can you see or can you not?” Dr. Kershner says. “Simply doing a Snellen acuity test doesn’t tell you much. It’s not a real-life situation, such as sitting in a dark room looking at a jet-black letter on a white surface. Real life is potential glare from car headlights or reading small text on a computer screen.”

He advises using all modalities of functional vision testing—in particular, contrast sensitivity and visual acuity under varying light conditions—to ensure that the patient sees well in a variety of real-life situations.

“There’s no fooling the patient,” he says. “You could achieve a perfect result and correct all of their refractive error and completely neutralize their topographic and wavefront maps, but if the patient can’t read the chart or can’t read a menu under dim lighting, it’s not a win. If they can read the chart and see clearly and function normally, then it was a success, even if you left them with some uncorrected astigmatism. The goal isn’t 20/20, it’s 20/happy.”

Annual follow-up exams that include tomography are key for ensuring the cornea has been regularized and stays that way after TG-PRK. Dr. Stein says that patients should undergo imaging, no matter what their level of UCVA. “You can’t see early ectasia at the slit lamp,” he points out. “It’s rare that a patient will require a retreatment due to progressive ectasia, but it’s a real concern. If the clinician picks it up at an early stage, you can save the patient’s sight by doing corneal cross-linking.”

Tomographic imaging is especially important for cases of irregular astigmatism secondary to keratoconus, pellucid marginal degeneration or ectasia after LVC. “We make sure that the cornea isn’t steepening in any area, especially in the area that was steep before,” says Dr. Stein. “This is a sign of progressive disease. We typically do tomography and difference maps six months to a year after LVC. This tells us how we accomplished the improvement in corneal regularity; it shows the areas we’ve steepened or flattened. The epithelium may not be totally mature at six months, and changes can occur even at one year.”

TG-PRK Limitations
Patients will get the most benefit from TG-PRK if the dioptric difference across their corneas is 10 D or less, says Dr. Stein. “If there’s marked irregularity of the cornea, it can be difficult to regularize because of the way the software works,” he explains.

“If a patient has, for example, 10-D of difference across the cornea, the software would flatten the steep area by 5 D and steepen the flat area by 5 D, and that’s about the most the laser can do. Generally, less than a 10-D difference is an important threshold, as well as having enough cornea to work with—you want to have a thickness of at least 450 to 460 µm.”

If the dioptric difference is too great or the cornea is too thin for TG-PRK, one approach you can try is PTK, he says. “When the cornea is irregular, it’ll typically have an irregular epithelium,” says Dr. Stein. “Where the cornea is steepest, the epithelium will be thinner, and where the cornea is flatter, the epithelium will be thicker. By doing PTK of a constant amount, we end up removing a little more tissue over the steep part of the cornea, and that can reduce irregular astigmatism.”

Dr. Stein cautions that TG-PRK may worsen any corneal scarring already present. “Fortunately, most of the corneas we operate on are clear, so that isn’t an issue.”

One additional challenge with TG-PRK is the indirect nature of the
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**Feature** IRREGULAR ASTIGMATISM

correction. “We can't directly steepen or flatten anything,” says Dr. Kershner. “If you want to steepen one area, you have to indirectly flatten an area 90 degrees away.”

“Topography-guided PRK isn’t perfect,” he continues. “Corneal behavior isn’t an exact one-to-one ratio when it comes to steepening and flattening. If you flatten one area by a given amount, in theory you've steepened the cornea 90 degrees away by the same amount, but it doesn’t always work out perfectly. You have to be very careful about balancing it, like a teeter-totter. It’s impossible to flatten one part without the other end coming up.”

He says this is where surgeons often run into trouble. “In the quest to eliminate astigmatism, ablation too much tissue to get the patient right where you want them can lead to an ectatic cornea. Now you’ve got a real problem on your hands. You don’t want to put a patient through a corneal transplant.

“It helps to know where the patient started in their refractive surgery journey,” he adds. “Now, we’re seeing the population who had excimer laser refractive surgery years ago who are now in the cataract age group, and they’re showing up for cataract surgery but we don’t know where their cornea started.

“If you’ve been taking care of a patient for years and have their early records, you can see what corneal topography, keratometry and wavefront data they’ve had,” he says.

“This puts you in a better position to analyze your approach and know what you shouldn’t attempt. Many of the patients we’re seeing now had radial keratotomy, and we should be concerned about reopening old incisions and the like.”

**Strategies for Success**

Here are some tips for improving your irregular astigmatism patient’s visual acuity:

- **Get to know the patient.** “The key to success is getting to know your patient,” says Dr. Kershner. “I know that’s hard with a busy office and having to see many more patients than you used to, to make the same amount of money. It’s hard to take that time, but you need to—especially with a refractive surgery patient. If you don’t know their needs, you’ll get burned.”

He says discussing expectations, explaining the worst outcomes, and asking what the patient will be happy with are important to include in your counseling. “Always under-promise and over-deliver.”

- **Stay alert for false ectasia patterns.** These may result from measurement errors or previous decentered ablations. “If the patient fixes below the central axis of the videoscope, you’ll see a pattern of inferior steepening,” Dr. Stein says. “Be sure to check the pupil position relative to the center of the topographic map and repeat the imaging.”

He adds that a decentered hyperopic ablation is more likely to produce a pattern resembling ectasia. “A focal area of steepening on anterior curvature topography or anterior elevation tomography may be mistaken for ectasia,” he says. “Unlike keratoconus, however, you won’t see significant posterior elevation on tomography.”

- **Never increase the power of the correction or shift its axis.** “Patients can’t tolerate this,” says Dr. Kershner. “This is due to neuroadaptation. It’s a process ingrained in the brain to varying degrees. Younger people can neuroadapt more quickly to an alteration in optical input to the visual cortex, but the older you get, the more difficult it becomes.”

“If you change the patient’s axis, the magnifying effect of plus-cylinder astigmatism creates some degree of meridional optical perception differences,” he continues. “They can end up with a meridional anisometropia or meridional amblyopia. This is especially common
in the genetic population of Native Americans. If you alter a patient’s optical apparatus to change their visual perception, the brain can’t neuroadapt to live with it.”

- **Consider each case individually.** TG-PRK can also be used when irregular astigmatism is caused by lamellar keratoplasty, penetrating keratoplasty, arcuate relaxing incisions and corneal scores,” says Dr. Stein. “Every case should be considered on an individual basis in order to determine the risks and benefits of treatment.”

- **Treat only the surfaces you can measure.** “How you deal with the epithelium is important,” says Dr. Stojanovic. “Don’t remove it mechanically, because that will change the corneal optics completely. If we remove the epithelium, then the surface we’re treating isn’t the one we’re measuring, it’s the stroma. Transepithelial topography-guided PRK is the only way we can safely ablate irregular corneas at this point.”

Dr. Stojanovic says stromal surface topography-guided ablation is possible using epithelial thickness maps, but it’s not widespread yet. A case study from 2015 successfully used the treatment to significantly reduce a patient’s surgically-induced stromal surface irregularities and improve topography and visual quality.1

One thing to note with transepithelial ablation is the difference in ablation rate between the epithelium and the stroma. With good epithelial mapping, however, this is less of an issue. “There’s a slight difference in ablation rates, but we’re aware of it, and we know the average thickness of the epithelium when we’re removing it with PTK,” says Dr. Stein. “We like to use a large optical zone and transition down. Typically the optical zone is about 7 mm with the PTK, with a blend out to 9 or 9.5 mm. If we remove 50 µm with the Allegretto, we know we can induce a mild degree of myopia, say -0.75 D. We can account for this when trying to give patients the best uncorrected vision.”

- **Be aware of the posterior cornea’s influence.** “We can’t treat the posterior cornea, so if the irregular astigmatism is mainly due to posterior corneal irregularities, it’s better not to treat that patient because they won’t get better,” says Dr. Stojanovic. “It’s inaccessible to us, at this point. Wavefront-guided PRK would take the posterior cornea into account, but this approach isn’t usually good enough to handle irregular corneas.”

**New Treatment Modalities**

Dr. Stojanovic says that emerging modalities for irregular corneal optics are taking into account the posterior cornea and its influence on total corneal optics. “In the future, we’ll have total corneal ray-tracing-guided ablation for very customized care,” he says. “Alcon has released a ray tracing system, but right now it doesn’t separate corneal ray tracing from total-eye ray tracing. Oculus’ Pentacam AXL Wave also has total-eye ray tracing.”

Ray tracing optimizes refractive surfaces in the eye until the measured wavefront equals the simulated ideal wavefront for a particular patient. It does this by calculating an ablation profile for a laser using data from several measurements, including anterior and posterior corneal topography and the crystalline lens. While wavefront also considers the entire eye’s optical properties, it’s based on approximation, and its calculations are derived from a single measurement method, making it inherently less precise and less ideal for true customized treatment, say experts.2


---

**Figure 2.** It’s important for patients to undergo annual tomography post-LVC to screen for ectasia, no matter what the UCVA is, experts say. Changes are likely to occur first in posterior elevation. This patient underwent LASIK in 2007 (left), but 2019 imaging (center and right) revealed ectasia development despite UCVA 20/20. The patient’s pachymetry map (center) and posterior elevation (right) are shown.
FOLLOWING PROGRESSION IN GLAUCOMA PATIENTS

Experts discuss how they take structure and function into account.

MICH else Stephenson
CONTRIBUTING EDITOR

Glaucoma is a progressive disease that must be monitored over time. For years, identifying glaucoma progression relied heavily on clinical assessment of the optic nerve, comparison of disc photos over time and visual field analysis. OCT has given physicians another tool to keep track of patients’ glaucoma, and they can combine its findings with the results of other modalities to try to get a fuller picture of the patient’s disease. Here, glaucoma experts explain how they follow progression in their patients.

The Toolbox Expands
According to Richard Lehrer, MD, who is in practice in Canton, Ohio, before visual field testing became popular, ophthalmologists examined the optic nerve and checked the patient’s pressure. “In the 1960s, if a patient’s pressure was less than 21 mmHg, he or she didn’t have glaucoma, and if the pressure was more than 21 mm Hg, he or she had glaucoma,” Dr. Lehrer says. “At that time, people were losing vision, and ophthalmologists didn’t really understand why. Once visual field testing became popular, it became routine standard of care to monitor for progression with visual field testing. Before OCT testing, we would follow all patients with stereo disc photos and disc drawings. We would do them on a regular basis and compare them. Studies have shown that manual optic nerve examination, even by very experienced observers, isn’t as reliable as comparing photographs. It’s not as reliable as OCT testing measuring nerve fiber layer and ganglion cell layer parameters.”

A recent study found that OCT is very sensitive for the detection of progression in early glaucoma (though several of the authors have financial interests in OCT technology).1 The utility of the nerve fiber layer declines in advanced glaucoma, but the ganglion cell complex remains a sensitive progression detector from early to advanced stages, the authors said. The study included 356 glaucoma suspect/preperimetric eyes and 153 perimetric glaucoma eyes that were analyzed every six months for at least four semi-annual follow-up visits. Follow-up length was 54.1 ±16.2 months for glaucoma suspect/preperimetric eyes and 56.7 ±16 months for perimetric glaucoma eyes.

Fourier-domain OCT was used to map the thickness of the peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer and ganglion cell complex. OCT-based progression detection was defined as a significant negative trend for either nerve fiber layer or ganglion cell complex. Visual field progression was determined if either the event or trend analysis reached significance.

Progression was detected in 62.1 percent of perimetric glaucoma eyes and in 59.8 percent of glaucoma suspect/preperimetric eyes by OCT, significantly more than the detection rate of 41.8 percent and 27.3 percent by visual field. In severity-stratified analysis of perimetric glaucoma eyes, OCT had a significantly higher detection rate than visual field in mild perimetric glaucoma (63.1 percent vs. 38.7 percent), but not in moderate or advanced perimetric glaucoma. The rate of nerve fiber layer thinning slowed dramatically in advanced

---
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perimetric glaucoma, but ganglion cell complex thinning rate remained relatively steady and allowed good progression detection even in advanced disease. The Kaplan-Meier time-to-event analyses showed that OCT detected progression earlier than visual field in both groups.

Because glaucoma is a complicated disease, it requires more than one method of following its progression, according to Michael Stiles, MD, who is in practice in Overland Park, Kansas. “We must look at both structure and function,” he says. “We’ve always been under the impression that measurable structural change will precede measurable functional change, but the Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study and other large studies have shown that functional loss can be recognized before structural loss. So, even in the early stages, following both structure and function is important.”

Louis Cantor, MD, who is in practice in Indianapolis, agrees. “There’s no one test that will tell you definitively if a patient is progressing,” he says.

Consistent, Persistent, Significant

Dr. Cantor says that there are three things to consider when evaluating possible progression: Is it consistent? Is it persistent? And, is it significant?

“First, the progression must be consistently observed on multiple tests,” he says. “It’s challenging to diagnose progression based on one measurement being up one day on any given test. We can’t hang our hat on any one thing in glaucoma, so things have to correlate and be consistent with each other. If something looks worse in a patient’s field, but the pressure is as low as it’s always been, the optic nerve looks the same, and the patient isn’t noticing any changes, then we are less likely to believe that the field is worse.

However, if that same patient comes in with a worse field, something on the nerve fiber layer looks worse, the pressure is up, and the patient feels that his or her vision is worse, that all adds up to progression.”

In addition to being consistent, the progression must be persistent, Dr. Cantor says. In other words, measurements need to be repeated to ensure that it’s a persistent finding. “Many times, things look worse, but then when you repeat the measurement, it’s really not worse,” he says. “Sometimes the scan quality of an OCT isn’t perfect, and when we repeat the measurement, what we thought looked worse is no longer there. Anytime we feel that a patient has progressed, we need to confirm that it’s true progression by repeating testing and making sure it’s a persistent finding. If we see the same visual field defect twice or three times in a row, that means something.”

The final consideration is whether the progression is significant. “Many people with glaucoma get a little bit worse with time, but we’re looking for patients who are at highest risk of future functional impairment or what we call loss of vision-related quality of life,” Dr. Cantor says. “What we’re most looking for and what most people are concerned about is patients who demonstrate that they’re getting worse quickly. In a visual field, this means losing 1.5 to 2 decibels of sensitivity per year. These are the patients who are most likely to run into trouble. Patients who are only losing 0.5 decibel per year, even from progressive glaucoma, usually aren’t going to experience any significant functional impairment during their lifetime. Another factor to consider is the patient’s age and life expectancy. A 30-year-old with advanced pigmentary glaucoma who’s getting worse slowly may be in real trouble, whereas an 85-year-old who’s getting worse quickly but with mild glaucoma will probably never run into trouble with functional impairment in his or her lifetime.”

Functional and Structural Changes

When following glaucoma and making decisions about when to adjust treatments, ophthalmologists consider both structural endpoints and functional endpoints. “Structural changes are a very compelling reason to think about upping your therapy to reduce the pressure more.”
Ultimately, even when the structural change hasn’t yet caused visual loss, it probably will, but, again, you need to take age and life expectancy into account. Also, other conditions, such as brain tumors, can cause structural changes to the nerve, as well,” Dr. Lehrer says.

Dr. Cantor uses OCT to examine the nerve fiber layer. “I must admit that I often find it difficult to ascertain progression in the nerve fiber layer, particularly in moderate to moderately advanced glaucoma, and certainly in advanced disease because there’s so little nerve fiber layer left to follow,” he says. “Nerve fiber layer and optic nerve parameters are much more sensitive for following progression during the earlier stages of disease, just by nature of our testing and what the optic nerve looks like. When 75 to 80 percent of the nerve is gone, there’s very little left to follow with OCT. We can look at macular parameters and other indicators, but it gets very hard, and we rely more on the visual field. Conversely, the field isn’t very sensitive for early disease and early progression, but is much more sensitive in moderate to moderately advanced and advanced disease. So, the test we use to monitor progression is influenced a great deal by the stage of glaucoma. The patient with early disease can be progressing, and his or her optic nerve is getting worse, but nothing shows up on the field by our current technologies.”

Dr. Lehrer agrees. “It’s important to look at the diagnosis to see if it’s mild, moderate or severe glaucoma and how much damage the patient has,” he says. “Certain things will work better in mild disease, and other things can be better in more severe disease. For instance, if you’re following severe glaucoma with OCTs, they’re not quite as useful because you reach a floor effect where it just doesn’t get any thinner after a while. At that point, fields are a much better way to follow things. Whereas, if you’re looking at mild disease, you may start to see changes sooner in the structural testing like OCT, versus seeing changes on fields and monitoring the disc. I think those are important factors. The other thing that I’ve started doing in extremely mild and ocular-hypertensive patients is photopic negative response (PhNR) and fERG testing. These may show signs of progression prior to some of the structural changes or visual field changes.”

Dr. Stiles follows glaucoma progression using primarily OCT and visual field. “In visual field testing, the 24-2 testing strategy is typically used, but we’re seeing a higher interest in using 10-2 to pick up more disease closer to fixation,” he says. “In the past, we’ve always been under the impression that pericentral disease only occurs in later stages of glaucoma. But we’re finding in certain glaucomas that the first manifestation of visual field loss is sometimes pericentral visual field defects, so 10-2s are being more commonly incorporated in screening and follow-up.”

A recent study found that both visual function and the optic disc must be monitored with equal diligence, because either may show the first evidence of glaucomatous damage. This longitudinal randomized clinical trial included 168 eyes of 152 ocular hypertensive participants aged 40 to 80 years.

Of the 168 eyes, 41 reached an endpoint by both visual function and optic disc criteria; 40 eyes reached only a visual function endpoint, and 87 reached only an optic disc endpoint. Times to reach isolated disc or field endpoints were similar. Visual field endpoints were more likely in eyes that showed the following optic nerve head features: an optic nerve head hemorrhage; thinning of the optic disc rim; or enlargement of the horizontal cup-to-disc ratio. Optic disc endpoints were more likely in eyes that showed some evidence of a nasal step or a partial arcuate visual field defect, or an increase in the pattern standard deviation.

In addition to testing, Dr. Cantor recommends asking patients about glaucoma progression and vision changes. “Many patients are aware that their glaucoma is getting worse,” he says. “Even if the visual field looks the same, the patient may say that his or her vision feels worse. If I can’t find another reason for it, that raises suspicion because patients are usually correct. I don’t know that we listen to patients well or even ask them about their perception of their vision. I now ask every glaucoma patient about his or her vision at every visit. Then, we go from there. I think we leave the patient out a lot and rely too much on testing, when our testing may not be as sensitive as the patient sitting in front of us.”

The Future

Artificial intelligence is an exciting new technology. “Trying to standardize what’s truly progression and what’s artifact or inherent anomalies of the optic nerve will be helpful in having a more specific and sensitive diagnosis of glaucoma, as well as following progression,” Dr. Stiles says.

A recent study found that numerous artificial intelligence strategies provide promising levels of specificity and sensitivity for structural and functional test modalities used for the detection of glaucoma. Combining structural and functional inputs has been shown to further improve diagnostic ability. Regarding glaucoma progression, artificial intelligence strategies may detect progression earlier than conventional methods, or potentially from a single visual field test, according to the researchers.
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Can Patients Just Drop Presbyopia?

Pharmacological treatment of this form of progressive near vision loss may usurp surgery. Here’s what you need to know.

D avid Wirta, MD, remembers prescribing glaucoma medications consisting of 4% and 8% concentrations of pilocarpine when he was a resident at UCLA. “Back then,” he recalls, “miosis was a side effect of pilocarpine that adversely affected patients when they walked into dark rooms.” Today, he serves as a principal investigator of four topical medications that will soon temper and use these same side effects to help presbyopic patients see better. One of the investigational agents, AGN-190584 from Allergan, should reach the market by the end of this year, and the other, CSF-1 from Israeli-based Orasis Pharmaceuticals, is expected to soon follow. “We’re entering a whole new world,” says Dr. Wirta, owner of the Eye Research Foundation in Newport Beach, California. “Reformulations of pilocarpine (a cholinergic muscarinic receptor agonist) and other miotics will change how we manage presbyopic patients from here on out.”

In this report, ophthalmologists and 12 manufacturers update you on what lies ahead. Half of the companies are developing topical reformulations of generics used in glaucoma treatment and cataract surgery for decades. The drive to meet the needs of 120 million-plus patients in this unique way has attracted more than $100 million in investor support and positioned entrepreneurial executives to blast the internet and airwaves with consumer advertising, supplanting surgical approaches of yesteryear.

New Day Coming

“Pharmacologic treatment of presbyopia is about to meet a great unmet

Figure 1. Above are results from a Phase IIb study of the Orasis CFS-1 drop for treating presbyopia. The columns on the left show that 47 percent of subjects using the drop achieved a three-line or better improvement in near vision compared to 16 percent using the vehicle. The columns on the right show that 80 percent of subjects using the CFS-1 drop achieved a two-line or better improvement in near vision compared to 43 percent using the vehicle.
need,” says anterior segment surgeon Eric Donnenfeld, MD, of OCLI Vision, at Island Eye Surgecenter in Westbury, New York. Dr. Donnenfeld is a consultant for Allergan and chairperson of a clinical advisory committee for another developer of topical treatment in this space, Visus Therapeutics in Irvine, California. “Unfortunately, many of the surgical therapies that have been used to treat presbyopia really haven’t panned out. Presbyopic LASIK, scleral inlays and corneal inlays have all been fraught with problems, and we’ve learned that many plano presbyopic patients aren’t willing to undergo these procedures. We need better opportunities for patients. What’s nice about presbyopic drops is that they represent a reversible therapy that patients can stop at any time. The drops’ efficacy appears to be really quite good. They are derived from proven medications with long histories.”

By the end of this year, product developers at Allergan, an AbbVie company, expect the U.S. Food and Drug Administration to act on an NDA filed in February for AGN-190584 (pilocarpine 1.25%) ophthalmic solution, an investigational, novel, optimized formulation of pilocarpine designed as a topical, once-daily drop delivered by a proprietary vehicle. The application is based primarily on data from two Phase III clinical studies (GEMINI 1 and GEMINI 2), which have evaluated the efficacy, safety and tolerability of AGN-190584. The most updated data on AGN-190584—the complete Phase III GEMINI 1 study results—were revealed at the 2021 ASCRS meeting in Las Vegas on July 25.

The GEMINI 1 clinical study evaluated 323 participants randomized in a one-to-one ratio of vehicle (placebo) to AGN-190584. AGN-190584 was instilled bilaterally, once-daily, for 30 days in participants with presbyopia. The primary and key secondary endpoints were met. Compared to those receiving the vehicle, a statistically significantly greater proportion of AGN-190584-treated participants gained three lines or more in mesopic, high-contrast and binocular DCNVA at Day 30, including at hour three (22.5 percent, p<0.0001) and at hour six (9.7 percent, p=0.0114).

The results demonstrated AGN-190584 had a rapid onset of 15 minutes and duration of up to six hours in mesopic DCNVA, without loss of distance vision, after administration at Day 30. Additional endpoints showed that 75 percent of participants treated with AGN-190584 achieved a ≥2-line improvement in mesopic DCNVA and that 93 percent of participants achieved ≥20/40 vision in photopic DCNVA. Improvements were also observed in DCIVA up to 10 hours at day 30. No treatment-emergent serious adverse events were observed in AGN-190584-treated participants.

Next in Line?
In terms of possible timing of approval, other entrants in the field include the following:

• **CSF-1 (Orasis).** Orasis CEO Elad Kedar and industry observers believe that CSF-1, containing a low concentration of pilocarpine (undisclosed at this point) and a proprietary vehicle, will possibly be the second drop to reach the market with FDA approval. The company is currently conducting its Phase III NEAR-1 and NEAR-2 clinical trials, launched in October 2020. Mr. Kedar says the multicenter, double-masked, parallel-group studies, each involving 300 participants, are structured similarly to a Phase IIb study, which showed a gain of at least two lines of near vision in 80 percent of patients and at least three lines of near vision in 47 percent of patients. The Phase IIb study also found no reduction in distance vision or night/low-light vision, he says.

Mr. Kedar says the key to success when treating presbyopia by reducing the diameter of pupils is to not burden the patient with excessive miosis, which can negatively affect distance vision, night vision and visual field. By striving for comfort, Mr. Kedar says his team has formulated a drop that minimizes side effects such as headache, brow ache and red eye. “While the specific elements of our vehicle are proprietary, I can say our...
careful balance allows us to achieve the desired therapeutic effect in a concentration significantly below the glaucoma range for pilocarpine,” he says.

With respect to a potential launch date, Mr. Kedar adds: “We’re currently focused on completing the ongoing Phase III trials, with the data readout anticipated later this year. FDA submission and review will follow shortly thereafter.”

• Brimochol (Visus Therapeutics). Unlike other topical presbyopic treatments, Brimochol is a combination product with two active ingredients—the parasympathomimetic carbachol and the selective alpha-2 adrenoceptor agonist brimonidine tartrate. Rhett Schiffman, MD, MS, MHSA, chief medical officer and head of R&D at Visus, notes that carbachol, mimicking the effect of acetylcholine on the muscarinic and nicotinic receptors, has been used to decrease IOP in glaucoma patients by inducing miosis for more than 50 years. It’s also marketed as an injectable formulation to constrict the pupil during cataract surgery.

Brimonidine blocks the dilation of the pupil under dark conditions and appears to inhibit some of the adverse effects of carbachol or pilocarpine by inhibiting alpha-2 receptors on the ciliary body, Dr. Schiffman adds. “We also have good evidence that brimonidine increases the half-life of carbachol,” says Dr. Schiffman. “Previous published data indicate that this combination product can have very beneficial effects on your visual acuity for eight to 12 hours. A single dose in the morning would last you all day.”

Another feature of Brimochol that may attract patient interest is that brimonidine, the active agent in Luvis, reduces ocular redness, which is associated with the use of pilocarpine and carbachol.

Visus initiated its Phase II study of Brimochol in March 2021 to evaluate the safety, tolerability and efficacy of two formulations, Brimochol and Brimochol Free (for dry eyes) in a three-arm crossover study, according to Dr. Schiffman. “Visus estimates FDA approval in Q4 2024 if everything goes as planned,” he says.

Push of a Button

New York City-based Eyenovia, a clinical stage ophthalmic biopharmaceutical company, is developing a proprietary pilocarpine solution called MicroLine that’s administered in a “microdose,” minimizing adverse effects. Eyenovia’s unique proprietary Optjet spray dispenser, featuring an automated push-button function, activates a 7-ml burst of the cholinergic muscarinic receptor agonist, reducing potential systemic exposure and toxicity, according to the company. MicroLine’s Phase III VISION-1 clinical trial found that fewer than 3 percent of study participants reported headache and brow ache, compared to a 20 to 25 percent incidence observed in some other studies of eye drop formulations of pilocarpine.

“A typical eye drop may be 20 to 50 ml, depending on viscosity,” says Dr. Wirta, a principal clinical investigator of MicroLine. “Because only 7 ml of the formulation is delivered by Eyenovia’s Optjet dispenser, this formulation doesn’t spill onto the patient’s face and beyond the targeted area of the eye. Although miosis by itself can still contribute to adverse effects, this lower dose may be a factor in MicroLine’s reduced adverse effects. The effect of the treatment peaks one hour after administration, providing up to three lines in improved vision. This is an on-demand treatment designed to be used with readers or prescribed lenses, as needed, and it provides improved vision up to three hours or more, depending on individual response.”

Eyenovia’s VISION-1 study evaluated the safety and efficacy of the company’s 1% and 2% pilocarpine Micro-Array Print (MAP) formulations versus placebo, all administered with the Optjet dispenser. A higher proportion of subjects met the primary endpoint of three-line or greater improvement in near vision with 2% MicroLine, compared to placebo (Odds Ratio=7.7; statistically significant difference p<0.05). A higher proportion of subjects achieved two-line or greater improvement in near vision with 2% MicroLine as compared to placebo (Odds Ratio=10.8, statistically significant difference p<0.05). Eyenovia plans to initiate a second Phase III registrational trial, VISION-2, later this year.

Unique Approach

Lenz Therapeutics of San Diego, formerly called Presbyopia Therapies, has reorganized and eliminated the previous trade name of its developmental product, whose active ingredient, aceclidine, is a parasympathomimetic agent used in the treatment of open-angle glaucoma as a topical drop.

Steven J. Dell, MD, a Lenz Therapeutics scientific advisory board member and investor in the company, says a 1998 study indicates that aceclidine has the potential to function as a best-in-class treatment of presbyopia by uniquely targeting the iris sphincter.1 The muscarinic acetylcholine receptor agonist demonstrates the ability to stimulate the pupil with minimal effect on the ciliary muscle, compared to the more significant effects on the ciliary muscle created by pilocarpine and carbachol, the other active ingredients in today’s emerging topical...
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presbyopia treatments, according to Dr. Dell, who is also the medical director of Dell Laser Consultants in Austin, Texas.

He notes that aceclidine, pilocarpine and carbachol stimulate the iris sphincter to create a pinhole effect, which is desirable, but not all three spare the ciliary muscle significant and undesirable stimulating effects. Strong stimulation of the ciliary muscle is undesirable in the treatment of presbyopia because it causes lens shift, increased lens thickness and varying induced levels of myopia, he continues. “For example, only 900 nmol/L of aceclidine triggers the sphincter muscles, but 22 times that amount would be required to trigger the ciliary longitudinal muscle,” he says. “This is a high independence ratio versus what is found in the actions of the other miotics.”

In 1977, he adds, researchers used these three miotics to elicit a pinhole effect and measured the corresponding impact on the lens created by the ciliary muscle, echoing the impact on the ciliary muscle found in the 1998 study.2

Dr. Dell says Lenz Therapeutics’ aceclidine product will be a daily eye drop. “We completed a successful Phase II study,” he says. “The product was well-tolerated. The most common side effect was mild discomfort on instillation and there were no serious adverse events. Our current focus is on Phase III clinical development to enable FDA submission and bring the product to market.”

Second Combination Drug

Another emerging topical presbyopic treatment combines phentolamine ophthalmic solution 0.75% and low-dose 0.4% pilocarpine, according to the United States National Library of Medicine’s clinical trial website. The product in development is Nyxo1, manufactured by Ocphire Pharma in Farmington Hills, Michigan.

A Phase II interventional trial that currently involves 150 randomized, parallel-assigned participants, with quadruple masking (participant, care provider, investigator and outcomes assessor) was begun on February 15 and is expected to conclude in September 2021, according to the FDA. Participants qualified for the trial if they had near visual acuity of 20/50 or worse.

Ocphire says the primary endpoint of the trial is the percentage of patients with at least three lines (15 letters or more) of binocular distance-corrected near visual acuity (DCNVA) improvement on a standard near vision eye chart in photopic lighting conditions. Secondary endpoints at multiple timepoints include improvement of three lines of DCNVA without any loss of distance vision, pupil diameter and improvement in DCNVA of one or two lines when compared to placebo, phentolamine ophthalmic solution 0.75% alone and low-dose pilocarpine alone.

Alternative Mechanisms of Action

Not all topical treatments of presbyopia will be used to stimulate miosis. Novartis’ UNR844 is a prodrug that penetrates the cornea and uses lipoic acid choline ester to reduce di-
sulfide bonds in the lens which, over time, restrict the lens from changing shape via ciliary muscle contraction and relaxation. The age-related disulfide bonds also contribute to the development of nuclear sclerotic cataracts.

The company’s prospective, randomized, double-masked, placebo-controlled Phase I/II study enlisted 75 patients, ages 45 to 55 years, with presbyopia. At baseline, participants’ distance-corrected near vision was below 20/40 in both eyes. Fifty patients received UNR844; 25 received placebo. Patients received drops for 91 days and were then monitored for seven months. According to a company spokesperson who asked to remain anonymous, findings included:

- Bilaterally, 74 percent of patients receiving UNR844 improved to 20/40 or better, versus 33 percent of those receiving placebo. Although 82 percent of patients receiving UNR844 finished the study at 20/40 or better (compared to 48 percent receiving placebo), some of them started with bilateral vision of 20/40 or better. “This is because the inclusion criteria required that monocular vision be worse than 20/40 in each eye,” the spokesperson says. “However bilateral vision can be better than monocular. The 74 percent versus 33 percent numbers here excluded them (subjects with bilateral vision of 20/40 or better) from the analysis.”
- Fifty-three percent of those receiving UNR844 experienced an improvement of at least 0.2 logMAR; only 22 percent receiving placebo achieved this.
- The drug caused no change in visual acuity, manifest spherical equivalent or pupil diameter.
- Seven months after study completion, 39 percent of treated subjects maintained their improvement in bilateral vision (defined as an improvement of at least 0.2 logMAR), compared to only 6 percent of the placebo group. A Phase Ib study of UNR844 in patients with presbyopia was initiated in June; the first interpretable results are expected in 2022.

Another unique mechanism of action for presbyopia-correcting therapy is employed by Yolia True Vision, which involves self-administration of proprietary enzyme eye drops that increase corneal malleability, followed by the wearing of individually customized contact lenses that reshape the cornea’s sphericity to produce multifocal vision.

The treatment, approved by the Mexican FDA, Coefris, is part of Yolia Health’s platform of similar treatments. CEO and co-founder Alberto Osio reports that his company is currently treating emmetropes with presbyopia and post-refractive patients with presbyopia. “We will soon begin testing our myopia progression treatment,” he adds. “We’ve also been successful at creating multifocal corneas and therefore will use the same approach for controlling the progression of myopia. In addition, Yolia has recently entered into a commercial partnership in Asia.”

Benefiting from the U.S. FDA’s willingness to accept data established in Mexico, Mr. Osio says he is hoping for expedited evaluation and approval of his company’s treatments by the FDA in 2023.
Cataract Surgery with Zonular Issues

An experienced surgeon shares his strategies for achieving good short- and long-term outcomes.

Shakeel R. Shareef, MD
Cleveland

One of the many challenges cataract surgeons sometimes face is weak or missing zonules, a situation that’s often associated with pseudoexfoliation. (We know that pseudoexfoliation not only affects the zonules, but can also infiltrate and affect the ciliary body; that combination can lead to zonulopathy.) Here, I’d like to share some of the strategies I use to minimize complications during surgery—and postoperatively—when working with such patients.

Searching for Warning Signs

One of the reasons zonular weakness is challenging is that it’s not always obvious before surgery that the problem exists. Sometimes the signs are subtle and easily missed at the slit lamp. For example, you may not notice a small amount of white fibrillar material on the iris or the anterior lens capsule.

In fact, if the problem is mild enough, it’s even possible to miss it during surgery. One study found that 70 percent of patients with subluxed IOLs, years after their cataract surgery, had pseudoexfoliation that wasn’t detected or reported in the notes made by the original surgeon. For these reasons, I take the advice offered by my former colleague, the late Alan Crandall, MD: Assume that every cataract surgery patient with a family history of glaucoma has pseudoexfoliation. (As I tell my residents, there’s no such thing as “routine” cataract surgery.)

During a routine examination you may find signs that a patient has a pseudoexfoliation issue. For example, you may note an unusual discrepancy, such as an asymmetry between the eyes in anterior chamber depth, or a hint of lens subluxation. Deposits of fibrillar material on the anterior lens capsule or sphincter are a giveaway, and phacodonesis or iridodonesis, poor dilation, abnormal lens or iris movements and a small pupil are other warning signs. Any discrepancy that can’t be explained should put you on the alert. In that situation you need to be prepared for possible trouble.

Perhaps even more important is any direct evidence of weakened zonules, especially at the lens equator. In particular, with dilation you may be able to see focal areas of invagination of the lens capsule. Whenever there’s focal weakening of the zonules—especially if there’s a loss of zonules—the capsule won’t be uniformly on stretch. The lack of stretch in one area will create a dip, and areas still under stretch may form a hump, creating a scalloped edge with hills and valleys. The valleys mark areas of zonular weakness or loss. This is a classic scalloped lens edge, and it indicates you’ll have a problem at the time of capsulorhexis.

Respecting the Zonules

In most cases of pseudoexfoliation, the surgery goes very well despite the problem. However, if you see a sudden deepening of the chamber, that indicates the presence of zonulopathy. That should kick in a whole set of strategies to minimize the chance of a potential adverse outcome.

The fact is, during cataract surgery it’s easy to make zonulopathy worse. Therefore, we need to take some essential precautions to avoid that. In essence, we need to minimize the stress we create in the x-axis or the y-axis by minimizing the side-to-side and up-and-down motion of the capsular-bag-zonular complex. This will avoid damaging the weakened zonules more than they’ve already been compromised. Accomplishing this involves maintaining a stable anterior chamber; doing a careful hydrodissection/delineation; using tangential forces during phaco and irrigation/aspiration rather than radial; and inserting and rotating the IOL slowly and carefully.

Here are some specific strategies that will help to protect the zonules:

• Be sure to widen a small pupil.

It’s impossible to do a safe surgery if you can’t see well. (Of course, this is a problem you may face whether or not the patient has pseudoexfoliation or weak zonules.) You can use a cohesive viscoelastic of your choice to viscodilate the pupil; some surgeons recommend a push-pull technique using hooks to widen the...
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opening. Some surgeons use scissors to make small micro-sphincterotomies that relax the pupil. Iris hooks or a device like the Malyugin Ring are other options. (If you use a Malyugin Ring, consider placing one of the circular scrolls right underneath your keratome incision; this can help to prevent the iris from exiting through the incision.)

• **Make sure your capsulorhexis isn’t too large or too small.** To help minimize stress forces in the x-axis, you need to have a capsulorhexis of adequate size—at least 5.5 mm. If the capsulorhexis is too large, you may not have the edge of the capsular tear sitting over the edge of the optic. If it’s too small, you can get phimosis and shrinkage over time, creating stress on the zonules at the equator and accelerating the zonulopathy 360 degrees.

A small capsulorhexis also makes it difficult to do the surgery in a way that reduces zonular stress during the operation. For example, if you can lift the endonucleus into the anterior chamber and phaco it there, rather than in the capsular bag, you’ll prevent all of the forces associated with phacoemulsification from impacting the zonules, but if the capsulorhexis is small, it’ll be very hard to do this.

One strategy I find helpful when creating a capsulorhexis is to use the Microsurgical Technology (MST) forceps. They have ruler markings starting from the tip that can help the surgeon create an ideal-sized capsulorhexis opening by confirming the diameter of the capsulorhexis you’ve made. (See picture.) I measure it after I’ve created the capsulorhexis. If necessary, you can always enlarge it.

• **Consider implanting a one-piece IOL.** A one-piece IOL unfolds very slowly, without causing much tension; that gives you time to orient the haptics in the plane and axis you want. It’s very zonule-friendly. In contrast, the haptics of a three-piece lens open very rapidly; the lens pops out of the lens injector. I don’t have any evidence that a one- or three-piece lens will result in a better outcome in pseudoxefoliation, but they open differently. A one-piece lens gives you more control and thus may allow you to avoid zonular stress.

• **Do a thorough hydrodissection and hydrodelineation.** You don’t want to attempt to rotate the lens nucleus in the bag unless you have a complete separation of the capsular/zonular apparatus from the cataract itself; that’s why it’s very important to do a very good hydrodissection. Note: Having a fluid wave isn’t sufficient to do a rotation. I tell residents: The goal is to direct that force of fluid to separate the nuclear material from the lens capsule at the equator. I like to use the Chang cannula for this part of the surgery; it has a 90-degree-angled flat tip. Because of the cannula design, I can start doing the hydrodissection right underneath my keratome incision temporarily, underneath the capsulorhexis edge and continue to irrigate going superiorly; then I do the same thing going in the other direction, again starting near the incision.

I also use the cannula to make sure the hydrodissection is complete. The distal point of the beveled tip can be used to impale and rotate the lens in the bag. Usually the hook is lying parallel to the plane of the cataract; I’ll rotate it 90 degrees and embed it into the cataract, and then rotate it. Once I get good rotation, I know I’ve separated the lens from the capsule/zonular complex.

• **If you’re dealing with profound zonulopathy, do a viscodissection.** That will not only separate the cataract but also elevate it out of the bag. If the cataract is soft enough, you can do a hydrodissection to separate the nucleus from the epinuclear and cortical material, producing the ring sign. Then, because I’m right-handed, I go in with a flat instrument using my left hand, while I viscoselastic-elevate that piece into the chamber with my right hand. Next, I phacoemulsify the nucleus. Finally, I go after the remaining equinuclear and cortical material with additional viscodissection outside of the cortex.

• **When sculpting, don’t push.** Residents and glaucoma fellows often want to push the phaco hand-piece into the cataract. Instead, let the phaco tip lead you. When you’re doing longitudinal phaco the device will clear the path for you, without pushing. Think of it as being like mowing the lawn with an automatic mower. The mower will pull you in the direction you’re cutting the grass. It’s leading you; you’re not pushing it.

If you do push while sculpting, you’re tugging on the zonules in the
subincisinal space, pushing the whole lens-zonular complex into the zonules 180 degrees away from you. You want to minimize that stress. So, let the phaco handpiece do its job. And once you’re done, don’t phaco coming backwards. That will minimize any damage to the corneal endothelium.

- **Maintain a stable chamber.** This is about minimizing the forces in the y-axis by preventing chamber shallowing or collapse. Strategies that can help accomplish this include:
  - **Make a 2- to 2.2-mm keratome incision.** If you make the incision 2.4 mm or bigger, I believe the chamber will collapse no matter what you do. So make the incision snug around your phaco and irrigation/aspiration sleeve. You want a very controlled environment.
  - **Make your incision triplanar.** I also tell residents, when you make your keratome incision, it can’t be biplanar. In the presence of zonulopathy, the lens and iris can shift. But if you make a nice 2.2-mm triplanar incision, nine out of 10 times the chamber will hardly shift at all.
  - **Avoid pressure changes when moving instruments in and out of the eye.** I use my 27-ga. cannula to firmly inject BSS into the chamber while turning off the irrigation and then go underneath the I/A tip while it’s still irrigating and then go underneath the edge of the capsulorhexis. (See image, above.) Then I fill the bag with viscoelastic while turning off the I/A. (If you leave it on, it will aspirate some of the viscoelastic.) I don’t want to remove the I/A handpiece during this process, because it could collapse the chamber. Instead, I leave it in, almost like an anterior chamber space maintainer. Once the whole bag is filled up, and the viscoelastic is bulging into the anterior chamber, I’ll gently take out the I/A handpiece and the chamber will be maintained.

- **Peel tangentially, not radially.** When I need to peel away cortex from the lens capsule, for example during the divide and conquer technique, I peel it in a tangential direction, like peeling the skin off an orange once you’ve cut it into pieces. When you pull radially, you’re pulling on the zonules. I’ll take my phaco handpiece and impale the desired piece without phacoing it, and then using vacuum, I peel the tissue back from an edge that’s already been hydrodissected. This minimizes damage to the zonules.

The tangential approach is critical, sweeping from one side to the other. I tell residents, go to the West Coast and walk to the East Coast and peel everything. In radial peeling, you’re going underneath the edge, grabbing a piece of cortical or nuclear material and pulling it to the center; that pulls directly on the zonules. Tangential peeling minimizes that.

- **When rotating the cataract, consider using two instruments.** If you use the divide-and-conquer technique, you want to make sure you’re rotating the whole lens before you start your sculpting; but rotating the material with one hand stresses the zonules significantly. Adding a second instrument to help the phaco handpiece do the rotation can eliminate most of the zonular stress. For example, push on one heminuclear piece while you pull on the other heminuclear piece in the opposite direction. This will help you maintain control inside the bag during the rotation and minimize the stress put on the zonules.

- **If necessary, use iris hooks to stabilize the capsule during the surgery.** Sometimes you may notice that the entire capsular bag complex is weak. In some patients there’s such significant zonulopathy that you can start a partial capsulorhexis and find yourself worried about the rest of the bag collapsing. In this situation you can use iris hooks to
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support the capsulorhexis itself (rather than the iris). That can minimize the y-axis stress while you do your phacoemulsification.

If you have more than three clock hours of weakness in the zonules, you’ll need multiple iris hooks to hold it up. It just depends on the extent of the zonulopathy. Later you can put in a support ring and suture it to the sclera; once that’s done, you take the hooks out.

**Capsular Tension Rings and Segments**

Capsular tension rings basically help with centration. So if you see that the capsular bag has shifted a little bit, but the shift is mild and the bag isn’t completely off-center, a CTR may solve the problem.

A few things to keep in mind:

- **A CTR won’t prevent postoperative subluxation.** It will help with centration at the time of cataract surgery, especially in patients with two or three clock hours of zonulopathy, but it won’t help prevent subsequent subluxation years later.

- **A CTR won’t prevent postoperative phimosis.** Whatever the mechanism of capsular phimosis is, a CTR doesn’t seem to counter it. So, it’s important to make a good 5.5-mm capsulorhexis.

- **When you put the ring in, avoid any capsular laxity at the equator.** Fill the capsular bag with cohesive viscoelastic. If it’s not fully inflated when you put the ring in, it can actually damage the zonules.

- **Use a second instrument when delivering the CTR into the bag.** This can help you manipulate the ring without stressing the zonules.

- **Inject the CTR in the direction of the zonular laxity.** This helps to avoid putting stress on the remaining zonules.

Even though the CTR won’t prevent late subluxation or dislocation, my retina colleagues tell me that if that IOL/capsular bag and CTR complex decides to take a dive onto the retina, having the ring in the bag will help them retrieve it. They can simply grab the edge and lift it up. The CTR keeps the capsular bag on stretch pretty much 360 degrees, making it easier to grasp.

> **It’s crucial for us to take steps to minimize the likelihood of postoperative complications.**

If there’s a need for zonular support covering four or more clock hours during cataract surgery, a CTR typically isn’t going to suffice. In that situation a fixate-able capsular tension segment may be what you need. These segments have eyelets that can be used to secure them to the sclera. Often these segments only cover a few clock hours, as is the case with the Ahmed segment, but you can also use what’s called a modified Cionni Ring, which is a CTR, but with eyelets. (You can also fixate the capsule with multiple shorter segments. If you place one segment and see the whole bag tilt 180 degrees away from where you’re sutureting the one eyelet, then putting in a second one in the opposite side makes sense.) Note that if you’re putting in a segment, it’s important to also place a CTR within the bag to uniformly stretch it.

The advantage of using a suture-able segment is that you can just slide the segment into the bag within the clock hours at which the laxity is present; the eyelet allows you to create two-point fixation to the sclera using a CV 8-0 Gore-Tex suture. The eyelet is designed to be above the plane of the arc, protruding upward and anterior outside of the capsulorhexis edge, so it’s accessible, while the curved CTR segment covering about 120 degrees sits inside the capsular bag in the lens equator to keep it on stretch. We simply make a little groove in the sclera, 1- or 1.5-mm posteriorly, and pass the sutures through the groove. We bury the knot in the groove, so we don’t need to make a flap.

This approach provides support where the zonules are missing, so the patient can have a well-centered IOL. (It also ensures that the lens won’t fall posteriorly later on.)

One other thing to keep in mind: The size of the CTR matters. CTRs come in multiple sizes, and getting the appropriate size is crucial to making sure the outward force created by the ring is appropriate for the eye. Depending on the axial length of the eye, the manufacturers make a recommendation of what size CTR to use.

In our practice we’ve created a table and posted it on the wall. It tells us the recommended CTR size that’s appropriate for a given eye’s axial length. So when I’m in that situation, I have my IOL printout and ask the nurse, “What’s the axial length for this eye?” (I’ll visually confirm it as well.) Then the appropriate sized CTR is requested from the reference table.

**Doing What We Can**

Ironically, it’s difficult to assess the effectiveness of any preventive strategy we use, because clinical experience has shown that dislocation or subluxation of the capsulorhexis-IOL complex can take an average of eight and a half years to occur. That time lag makes it difficult to draw any firm conclusions about the effectiveness of our efforts. Nevertheless, it’s easy to do things during surgery that can worsen the problem. Thus, it’s crucial for us to do everything in our power to identify a potential issue and take steps to minimize the likelihood of postoperative complications.
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RK,” notes Dr. Devgan. “There’s no one amazingly accurate way to measure these eyes. However, the American Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgery has an online calculator that lets you plug in whatever data you have, and it will use whichever of the 20 or so different formulas that are available to do the calculations. If you don’t have all of the data, it will use the formulas that are compatible with whatever data you do have. It will give you a spread of answers, rather than one conclusive answer. Again, I suggest erring on the side of ending up slightly myopic, by choosing a higher lens power.” [You can access the calculator at acrs.org/tools/post-refractive-iol-calculator.]

• Be aware that there are two different types of short eyes. Dr. Holladay points out another factor that clinicians may not be aware of: There are two very different types of eyes that measure as having a short axial length. Identifying which you’re treating can significantly impact the accuracy of a refractive outcome.

“One type of short eye is a nanophthalmic eye, where the anterior segment is proportional to the smaller axial length,” he explains. “Then, there are axial hyperopes with a very short posterior compartment and axial length, but a perfectly normal anterior segment. Eyes with a short axial length are only nanophthalmic about 20 percent of the time; the other 80 percent are axial hyperopes. If you’re operating on an axial hyperope, you’ll need a lens with more power because the lens will sit deeper in the eye; if it’s a nanophthalmic eye, you’ll need less power, because the lens will sit closer to the cornea.

“In a paper my colleagues and I wrote back in 1996 we showed that the best measurement to use to make this distinction was the white-to-white measurement,” he continues. “Normal eyes, including axial hyperopes, had 12-to 12.5-mm corneal diameters, while nanophthalmic eyes had 9- to 10-mm diameters. So there’s a big difference between a nanophthalmic eye and an axial hyperope in terms of this measurement. Furthermore, the nanophthalmic eye often had a steep K and shallower anatomic anterior chamber depth, while the axial hyperope did not. So, those three dimensions of the eye are critical in differentiating whether you’re dealing with a nanophthalmic or an axial hyperope eye. That’s the reason the optical biometry companies began to add white-to-white, anterior chamber depth and lens thickness measurements, and why the newest formulas take that into account.”

Therapeutics in the Suprachoroidal Space

A look at different approaches companies are taking to make use of this unique aspect of ocular anatomy.

Neesurage Mehta, MD, and Glenn Yiu, MD
Sacramento

Sometimes, in order to improve your outcomes with medical or surgical interventions, you don’t need a new therapy, you just need to apply your current therapy in a new way or—in the case of the suprachoroidal space—in a new place. The suprachoroidal space is a potential space between the sclera and choroid that glaucoma specialists have long taken advantage of for surgical drainage. Today, the SCS has also become a high-value target for retinal therapeutics ranging from intraocular drug and gene delivery to surgical interventions such as scleral buckling and retinal prostheses implantation. Here, we’ll show you the ways this space is being used, and how it might help improve outcomes.

Anatomy of the SCS

Located between the sclera and the outer border of the choroid, the SCS consists of a gradual transition from the loose lamellar fibers of the choroidal stroma to the more compact fibers of the sclera. Under physiologic conditions, the SCS has an average thickness of 35 µm, and is collapsed due to the intraocular pressure. In pathologic conditions, serous fluid or blood may collect in the SCS, but won’t extend beyond the scleral spur anteriorly or the optic nerve posteriorly. The SCS serves as the intermediary channel for the uveoscleral pathway, by which aqueous flows through the ciliary body into the SCS, through the sclera and out through the lymphatics.

Imaging the SCS

Although standard OCT penetrates poorly through the retinal pigment epithelium and the light defocuses at the choroid, newer advances let us better visualize the choroid and deeper structures. Enhanced depth imaging (EDI)-OCT is obtained by placing an OCT device closer to the eye to create an inverted image with an increased depth of field. Similarly, swept source-OCT employs a tunable swept laser with a longer median wavelength of 1,050 nm (as compared to 840 nm in spectral domain-OCT) to allow deeper tissue penetration and better visualization of deeper structures.

On OCT, the suprachoroidal layer is found at the choroidal-scleral junction and is thought to have an inner hyporeflective band and an outer hyperreflective band. The consensus is that the hyperreflective band represents pigmented cells interspersed between fibroblasts while the hyporeflective band represents the SCS. The hyporeflective SCS can be seen on EDI-OCT scans of the macula in approximately half of healthy adults above age 50, and its presence correlates with hyperopia. The SCS may be more difficult to visualize in those with darker uveal pigment such as those of Asian or African descent. The SCS was noted to be visible in 20 percent of those with exudative AMD, and half with non-exudative AMD, likely because macular fluid may impair SCS visualization.

Accessing the SCS

There are several techniques to access the SCS. Minimally-invasive glaucoma surgery traditionally uses the ab interno approach, in which drainage devices such as the now-defunct Cypass Micro Stent (Alcon) and the investigational iStent Supra (Glaukos) are surgically implanted to allow aqueous to flow from the anterior chamber to the SCS without a filtering bleb.

An external approach can also be achieved by transscleral cannulation (iTrack, iScience Interventional). This is performed by creating a full-thickness pars plana scleral flap and threading a microcatheter through the SCS to the intended location. Care must be taken to identify the choroidal-scleral junction to avoid dissecting through the choroid or retina. The catheter has a flashing diode that helps the surgeon visualize the advancing catheter through the surgical microscope. The advantage of this technique is that it allows for precise delivery of the...
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drug or substance, though there is a steep learning curve and potential risk for choroidal hemorrhage.

Microneedles have been developed to allow easy and safe access to the SCS in the outpatient setting. The microneedles have a depth set to that of the sclera and conjunctiva so that the choroid and retina aren’t inadvertently perforated. A hollow-bore 750 um-long microneedle (Clearside Biomedical) is inserted perpendicular to the sclera at the pars plana and often held for ~1 minute to prevent reflux (See Figure 1). This particular technique is necessary because, unlike standard intravitreal injections, suprachoroidal microneedle injections should be given slowly to minimize patient discomfort.

Pharmacokinetics

Intravitreal injections are the most common route for treating retinal conditions, but efficacy may be limited by biodistribution, tissue penetration and pharmacokinetics. Drug distribution in the vitreous can be non-uniform, as small molecules rapidly distribute through it, while larger molecules are more restricted. The internal limiting membrane may also serve as a barrier, for example, in viral-mediated gene therapy. Materials in the vitreous are cleared anteriorly through trabecular outflow and posteriorly through passive and active transport through the blood-retina barrier and uveoscleral outflow. Therefore, hydrophilic and larger molecules tend to be cleared more slowly.

According to a paper from Clearside’s founder and his co-workers, delivery to the SCS bypasses the ILM, spares anterior segment structures unwanted exposure, and provides higher drug concentrations to the retina, RPE and choroid. For example, suprachoroidal fluorescein localizes to the choroid and retina at 25- to 200-times-higher levels than with intravitreal injection. Similarly, bevacizumab injected into the SCS showed an eightfold higher concentration in the choroid compared to injections into the vitreous. Studies in dog and pig eyes have shown that the SCS can accommodate up to 1 mL of fluid as compared to 10 to 50 µL in the vitreous. Although there is a circumferential distribution of fluid with SCS delivery, it doesn’t cover the entire space. Distribution is limited anteriorly by the scleral spur and posteriorly by the optic nerve. Because the SCS is distensible, a higher injection volume can possibly cover a larger area.

The three proposed routes of SCS clearance include: 1) from the injection site; 2) pressure-driven, transscleral movement; and 3) diffusion through the choroid and intravascular route. Most molecules are cleared more quickly from the SCS than from the vitreous, although lipophilic molecules such as triamcinolone acetonide form precipitates that dissolve slowly. Suprachoroidal injection of triamcinolone took 120 days to clear as compared to 41 days after intravitreal injections in non-vitrectomized eyes and six days in vitrectomized eyes. In contrast, bevacizumab was undetectable in the SCS seven days after suprachoroidal injection. Molecules up to 500kDa are cleared from the eye two days after SCS delivery, but very large molecules (2MDa) take up to 20 days to be eliminated. Interestingly, polystyrene microparticles (20kDa) may last four months or longer in the SCS, possibly because these rigid microspheres can’t enter the choroidal circulation easily. These various properties can be taken advantage of to increase the half-life of various therapeutics in the SCS.

Drug Delivery in the SCS

The use of microneedles to deliver triamcinolone to the SCS has been examined in macular edema associated with noninfectious uveitis (NIU), retinal vein occlusions and diabetes.

• Clearside studies. In Clearside’s Phase III PEACHTREE study, 160 patients with macular edema due to NIU were randomized to a suprachoroidally-injected triamcinolone acetonide suspension (CLS-TA) or sham treatment at weeks 0 and 12. Of those receiving CLS-TA, 47 percent gained 15 or more ETDRS letters as compared to 16 percent in the sham arm (p<0.001) at week 24. Mean reduction in central subfield thickness (CST) was 153 µm versus 18 µm, respectively (p<0.001). The incidence of elevated intraocular pressure and cataract progression were similar between the two arms.

For eyes with RVO-related macular edema, the Phase II TANZANITE study evaluated suprachoroidal CLS-TA combined with intravitreal aflibercept (Eylea, Regeneron) versus intravitreal aflibercept alone. Both arms demonstrated comparable visual acuity, but the need for retreatment was significantly lower in the combination arm compared with the aflibercept-only arm (78 vs. 30 percent; p=0.003), suggesting that CLS-TA could reduce injection burden in these patients. The Phase I/II HULK trial also evaluated CLS-TA monotherapy or combination treatment with intravitreal aflibercept.
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in patients with diabetic macular edema. The treatment was well-tolerated with few adverse events, though VA gains and CST reduction were greater among treatment-naïve eyes as compared to previously-treated eyes.

Post hoc imaging analyses offer insight into the pharmacokinetics of suprachoroidal drug delivery. While anterior segment OCT of eyes from the HULK study showed SCS expansion immediately post-injection and anatomic restoration at one month, macular EDI-OCT of eyes from the TANZANITE study showed slight but persistent SCS expansion after three months, providing some evidence for sustained drug effect.

Suprachoroidal delivery has also been explored for anti-VEGF pharmacotherapies. Axitinib (Inlyta) is a tyrosine kinase inhibitor that directly blocks VEGF receptors-1, -2, and -3 and is currently approved to treat renal cell cancer. In a study from Clearside, a single suprachoroidal injection of axitinib in rabbit eyes achieved a higher concentration in posterior segment structures and more sustained VEGF inhibition than standard anti-VEGF-A therapies.

Initial data from Clearside’s Phase I/IIa OASIS trial (NCT04626128) evaluating 0.03 mg suprachoroidal axitinib suspensions (CLS-AX) for patients with previously treated neovascular AMD showed VA and CST improvements, no adverse events and a reduction in intravitreal injection burden over three months in the first cohort (n=6). The study will proceed with dose escalation in cohorts 2 and 3 (0.1 mg and 0.3 mg, respectively).

Gyroscope Therapeutics’ studies. The SCS also provides a conduit for drug delivery into the subretinal space. The Orbit Subretinal Delivery System (Orbit SDS, Gyroscope Therapeutics) delivers a precise volume of drug into the subretinal space by passing a cannula through the SCS and advancing a microneedle into the space (See Figure 2). As complement dysregulation is a key driver of AMD pathology, the Orbit SDS is being evaluated for an investigational gene therapy, GT005, to increase expression of complement factor I (CFI), which is a negative regulator in the complement alternative pathway. Subretinal injection of GT005 has been found to be safe in mouse and nonhuman primate models. The Phase II FOCUS (NCT03846193), Phase II EXPLORE (NCT04437368) and Phase II HORIZON (NCT04566445) studies are under way to evaluate its safety and efficacy in AMD patients with geographic atrophy.

**Gene Delivery to the SCS**
Subretinal injection of viral vectors is currently the preferred method for viral-mediated gene therapy. However, subretinal injection requires surgery in the operating room, and the therapeutic effect is limited to the area of the subretinal bleb. Suprachoroidal injection provides a potentially easier route of delivery while enabling a broader area of gene transduction.

**Delivery of AAV vectors.** Suprachoroidal delivery of an AAV8 vector expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP) using a conventional needle demonstrated widespread expression on retinal flat mount tissues across rats, pigs and nonhuman primates. Using a similar technique, injection of RGX-314, an AAV8 vector that expresses an anti-VEGF Fab fragment, showed expression levels similar to subretinal injections in rats. Our laboratory compared intravitreal, subretinal and suprachoroidal delivery of AAV8 in nonhuman primates using transcleral microneedles, and similarly found widespread GFP expression. However, the transgene expression was mostly limited to the peripheral RPE that was highest at month one; it declined by month three after injection along with infiltration of inflammatory cells.

In follow-up studies, we found that while intravitreal AAV8-GFP produced low retinal expression and high egress of viral particles to systemic circulation to trigger a neutralizing antibody response to the viral capsid, suprachoroidal delivery of the same vector generated high levels of local GFP expression in the sclera, which is outside the blood-retinal barrier, and thus elicited stronger immune responses to the GFP transgene.

Since GFP is a fluorescent jellyfish protein that’s foreign to the human body, the SCS remains a compelling route for gene therapies with normal human or humanized transgenes. The Phase II AAWIATE (NCT04514653) and ALTTITUDE (NCT04567550) trials to evaluate suprachoroidal microneedle delivery of RGX-314 (RegenXBio), which consists of an AAV8 vector that encodes an anti-VEGF antibody fragment, are currently enrolling patients with neovascular AMD (AAWIATE) and center-involving diabetic macular edema (ALTTITUDE).

**Nanoparticle delivery.** Suprachoroidal delivery of nanoparticles enables non-viral-based gene therapy that may avoid immunogenicity and achieve higher therapeutic doses.
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Subretinal and suprachoroidal delivery of luciferase DNA nanoparticles in rabbits successfully transfects the RPE, choroid and retina. Suprachoroidal injections of nanoparticles containing a DNA plasmid enabled transgene expression in rat photoreceptors and RPE for at least eight months, and can be used to produce an anti-VEGF protein to suppress subretinal neovascularization.

Suprachoroidal delivery of viral nanoparticle conjugates has also been evaluated for the treatment of choroidal melanoma. AU-011 (Aura Biosciences) binds to cancer cells when photoactivated with a non-thermal infrared laser. Suprachoroidal injection of AU-011 results in tumor regression in rabbits, and is undergoing Phase II investigation in patients with choroidal melanoma.

**Vitreoretinal Surgery in the SCS**

The suprachoroidal space can also be used in various surgical applications.

- **Retinal implants.** The approval of the Argus II retinal implant (Second Sight Medical Products), which uses electrodes to stimulate inner retinal neurons, bypass degenerated photoreceptors and restore basic vision to those with severe vision loss, has generated significant enthusiasm for the development of retinal prostheses. These prosthetics may be implanted in epiretinal, subretinal and intrascleral locations to help patients regain some light and object perception, though different complications are associated with the various locations and surgical techniques.

  Implantation into the SCS doesn’t require manipulation of the retinal tissue and may avoid disrupting the fragile neurosensory retina of patients with retinal degenerations.

- **Retinal detachment repair.** In addition to retinal prosthesis implantation, the suprachoroidal space may also be accessed for retinal detachment repair. Injection of viscoelastic into the SCS using a microcatheter introduced through a sclerotomy and advanced to the target area enables suprachoroidal buckling to relieve traction from peripheral retinal breaks in rhegmatogenous retinal detachments. It can also be injected underneath the fovea to repair myopic foveoschisis or macular holes.

  Although this eliminates the need for and complications associated with silicone bands in conventional scleral buckling surgery, the steep learning curve and risk for choroidal hemorrhage has limited its widespread adoption.

  In conclusion, with new technologies and methods to image and access the SCS, many clinical trials are under way to evaluate novel therapies that involve suprachoroidal drug or gene delivery. Suprachoroidal injections can be performed in outpatient settings using microneedles, and the location and pharmacokinetics of the SCS enable more widespread and targeted delivery of drugs and viral vectors to the outer retina, retinal pigment epithelium and choroid while limiting the impact on anterior segment structures. However, the position of the SCS outside the blood-retinal barrier and juxtaposition to the high-flow choroidal vessels present unique challenges for optimizing drugs’ durability and minimizing exposure to the host’s immune system.

  Future innovations to maximize the efficacy and safety of suprachoroidal therapies could further expand the indications for this potentially game-changing route of delivery.
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Decreased vision and nyctalopia bring a 61-year-old man to Wills Eye.

ALINA YANG, MD, AND JAMES P. DUNN, MD

Presentation
A 61-year-old white male presented with decreased central and peripheral vision, nyctalopia and photopsias OU over the previous four months. Systemic review was significant for fatigue, difficulty sleeping, decreased appetite, dyspnea on exertion, as well as muscle aches and joint pain. He denied weight loss, fevers, chills, scalp tenderness, jaw claudication, chest pain or gastrointestinal or genitourinary symptoms.

Medical History
Past medical history was significant for obesity (BMI>41), obstructive sleep apnea on CPAP, hyperthyroidism treated with radioactive iodine and thyroidectomy, depression and migraines. His only medication was levothyroxine.

The patient was initially admitted after presenting to a general emergency room, and evaluated by several services, including neurology, cardiology, vascular surgery and ophthalmology. He had a superotemporal branch retinal artery occlusion in the right eye. Subsequent cardiac and vascular workup was overall non-diagnostic for his visual symptoms. This included an unremarkable EKG, MRI and computed tomography (CT) scan of the head and orbits, MRA/MRV, echocardiogram, carotid duplex study, BMP, CBC, LFTs, TSH, A1c and lipid panel. A stress test revealed a moderate-sized partially reversible inferior wall defect, with a left ventricular ejection fraction of 57 percent. The patient was started on a daily aspirin, but declined a statin. His erythryocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein were found to be elevated at 47 and 16.5, respectively, and he was initially started on oral prednisone 60 mg daily for possible GCA. He was then referred to our retina service.

He had quit smoking five years prior after an 80-pack-year smoking history. He denied any alcohol or substance abuse. He was allergic to penicillin. Family medical history included breast cancer, diabetes and hypertension in his mother, and history of stroke in his father.

Exam
Visual acuity on presentation was 20/40 OD and 20/300 OS. Pupils were equal and reactive, with no afferent pupillary defect. IOP was 16 OD and 13 OS. Extraocular motility was full OU. Visual fields were full to confrontation OU.

The anterior exam was normal, except for 1+ nuclear sclerotic cataracts OU. Fundoscopic exam was significant for two Hollenhorst plaques versus platelet-fibrin plugs along the proximal inferotemporal arcade of the right eye, and a small choroidal nevus in the left, as well as arteriolar attenuation and mild peripheral pigmentary changes OU.

What is your diagnosis? What further workup would you pursue? The diagnosis appears on p.72.
We are excited to continue into our sixth year of Mackool Online CME. With the generous support of several ophthalmic companies, I am honored to have our viewers join me in the operating room as I demonstrate the technology and techniques that I have found to be most valuable, and that I hope are helpful to many of my colleagues. We continue to edit the videos only to either change camera perspective or to reduce down time – allowing you to observe every step of the procedure.

As before, one new surgical video will be released monthly, and physicians may earn CME credits or just observe the case. New viewers are able to obtain additional CME credit by reviewing previous videos that are located in our archives.

I thank the many surgeons who have told us that they have found our CME program to be interesting and instructive; I appreciate your comments, suggestions and questions. Thanks again for joining us on Mackool Online CME.

Richard J. Mackool, MD

---

**Episode 68: "Retrieving a Dislocated IOL from the Vitreous Cavity"**

Surgical Video by: Richard J. Mackool, MD

**Video Overview:**
A complex procedure is performed, including pars plana vitrectomy, manual aspiration of residual lens cortex, and elevation and removal of a dislocated IOL from the vitreous cavity. The case successfully concludes with insertion of a 3-piece IOL into the ciliary sulcus.

**Learning Objective**

*After completion of this educational activity, participants should be able to:*

- Obtain a greater understanding of the sequence of the surgical steps required to maximize visualization and safe mobilization and removal of a posteriorly dislocated IOL in an eye with a large amount of retained lens cortex.

Satisfactory Completion - Learners must pass a post-test and complete an evaluation form to receive a certificate of completion. You must listen to/view the entire video as partial credit is not available. If you are seeking continuing education credit for a specialty not listed below, it is your responsibility to contact your licensing/certification board to determine course eligibility for your licensing/certification requirement.

Credit Designation Statement - Amedco designates this enduring material activity for a maximum of 0.25 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.
Work-up, Diagnosis and Treatment

OCT demonstrated disruption of the extrfoveal ellipsoid zones in both eyes (Figure 1A, B). No cystoid spaces or subretinal fluid were seen. Fundus autofluorescence and fluorescein angiography were within normal limits. Full-field ERG demonstrated near isoelectric responses to scotopic stimuli and combined flash stimuli OU, as well as a severe decrease in amplitude in response to single flash photopic and 30-hertz flicker stimuli, suggesting advanced retinal dysfunction in both eyes. Octopus perimetry demonstrated a superotemporal arcuate scotoma, denser temporally, as well as an inferior arcuate scotoma.

Given the patient’s symptoms and workup, autoimmune retinopathies (AIR), including paraneoplastic (pAIR) and non-paraneoplastic (npAIR) etiologies, were at the top of the differential. Acute zonal occult outer retinopathy, hereditary retinal degenerations such as retinitis pigmentosa, cone-rod dystrophy, and toxic-nutritional retinopathies were considered, but thought to be less likely.

The patient reported mild improvement in symptoms after initial treatment with prednisone. However, he was unable to taper below a daily dose of 20 mg of prednisone without developing worsening visual symptoms; he was subsequently started on a steroid-sparing regimen of mycophenolate and tacrolimus. He later developed hemoptysis and worsening SOB, and was found to have a large left lung mass on CT that was then biopsied and showed poorly differentiated carcinoma with focal squamous differentiation. A PET scan showed diffuse metastases involving the liver, adrenal gland, spine, pelvic bones, femurs and multiple ribs. The patient began chemotherapy and palliative radiation for stage IVB non-small cell lung cancer, but sadly did not survive his diagnosis.

Discussion

Autoimmune retinopathies comprise a spectrum of retinal degenerative disorders characterized by subacute vision loss, visual field deficits and the presence of circulating antiretinal autoantibodies (ARAs). Depending on the presence or absence of concomitant malignancy, AIR can be broadly classified as paraneoplastic or non-paraneoplastic (npAIR). Paraneoplastic AIR can be further subdivided into cancer-associated retinopathy (CAR) and melanoma-associated retinopathy (MAR). Patient symptoms may reflect dysfunction of the associated photoreceptors and the damaged retinal tissue. CAR classically affects both rods and cones, whereas MAR is characterized by rod dysfunction secondary to antibodies directed toward bipolar cells. Cone dysfunction can lead to reduced visual acuity and central vision, hemeralopia and decreased color discrimination, while patients with rod dysfunction may experience more peripheral field loss, nyctalopia and prolonged dark adaptation.

Cancer-associated retinopathy is most often a bilateral disease that can lead to rapid progressive visual deterioration. The differential diagnosis includes MAR, npAIR, retinal degenerative disorders such as retinitis pigmentosa and cone-rod dystrophy, white-dot syndromes such as acute zonal occult outer retinopathy (AZOOR), toxic-nutritional retinopathy and non-infectious and infectious posterior uveitis. Currently, the diagnosis is made based on clinical presentation, abnormalities on ERG, and the presence of serum ARAs in the absence or presence of malignancy.

The fundus can initially be normal in appearance in CAR, with later stages revealing potential arteriolar attenuation, retinal pigment epithelial changes and pallor of the optic disc. Abnormalities of cone and rod dysfunction may be evident on ERG. Optical coherence tomography can demonstrate loss of outer retinal structures, including the ellipsoid and interdigitation zone, or show cystic spaces or occasionally mild schisis-like changes.

Antibodies to recoverin, a retina-specific calcium-binding protein in photoreceptors, is most commonly associated with CAR. However, the diagnosis of autoimmune retinopathy remains challenging, in part because the presence of ARAs alone is not diagnostic, as well as lack of an accepted gold standard for ARA detection. Authors of one study sent blood specimens from 14 patients with AIR to two different labs and found the concordance rate to be only 36 percent for specimens sent from the same patients. ARAs can be found in other systemic autoimmune diseases, RP and AMD, as well as in retinal degenerations, uveitis and normal eyes. A recently published study in JAMA reported the presence of retinal antibodies in 93 percent of the patients without autoimmune retinopathy. In addition, some AIR...
cases have been reported in which no ARAs were found.\textsuperscript{11} While testing for serum ARAs wasn’t ultimately done for our patient, the combined clinical presentation, ancillary testing and subsequent detection of small-cell lung cancer strongly implicated CAR.

An extensive investigation, in partnership with an internist or primary care physician, should be conducted in order to determine a patient’s individual risk factors and determine age- and gender-appropriate cancer testing. CAR is most frequently associated with small-cell lung cancer, breast cancer and other gynecologic cancers.\textsuperscript{12} In most cases of CAR, vision loss precedes the diagnosis of malignancy; one such interval was reported as much as 11 years prior to diagnosis.\textsuperscript{13} In contrast, vision loss in MAR is often accompanied by a recurrence or metastasis of a previously diagnosed cutaneous melanoma.\textsuperscript{14}

There are currently no clear prognostic indicators or standard parameters to guide treatment in CAR. A number of largely anecdotal case reports and observational studies have reported on different treatments for CAR, with variable results and improvement in visual function. These treatment modalities include regional corticosteroid injections, systemic immunosuppressive medications (cyclosporine, azathioprine, alemtuzumab, rituximab and intravenous immunoglobulin) and plasmapheresis.\textsuperscript{15-18} In any case, prognosis remains poor once widespread retinal degeneration occurs.\textsuperscript{\uparrow}
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†The safety and efficacy of Xiidra were assessed in four 12-week, randomized, multicenter, double-masked, vehicle controlled studies (N=2133). Patients were dosed twice daily. The mean age was 59 years (range, 19-97 years). The majority of patients were female (76%). Use of artificial tears was not allowed during the studies. The study end points included assessment of signs (based on Inferior fluorescein Corneal Staining Score [ICSS] on a scale of 0 to 4) and symptoms (based on patient-reported EDS on a visual analogue scale of 0 to 100). Effects on signs of dry eye disease: a larger reduction in ICSS favoring Xiidra was observed in 3 out of the 4 studies.1 Effects on signs of dry eye disease: at day 84, a larger reduction in ICSS favoring Xiidra was observed in 3 out of the 4 studies.1

**Indication**

Xiidra® (lifitegrast ophthalmic solution) 5% is indicated for the treatment of signs and symptoms of dry eye disease (DED).

**Important Safety Information**

- Xiidra is contraindicated in patients with known hypersensitivity to lifitegrast or to any of the other ingredients.
Important Safety Information (cont)

- In clinical trials, the most common adverse reactions reported in 5-25% of patients were instillation site irritation, dysgeusia and reduced visual acuity. Other adverse reactions reported in 1% to 5% of the patients were blurred vision, conjunctival hyperemia, eye irritation, headache, increased lacrimation, eye discharge, eye discomfort, eye pruritus and sinusitis.
- To avoid the potential for eye injury or contamination of the solution, patients should not touch the tip of the single-use container to their eye or to any surface.
- Contact lenses should be removed prior to the administration of Xiidra and may be reinserted 15 minutes following administration.
- Safety and efficacy in pediatric patients below the age of 17 years have not been established.

For additional safety information about XIIDRA®, please refer to the brief summary of Prescribing Information on adjacent page.

References:

XIIDRA, the XIIDRA logo and ii are registered trademarks of Novartis AG.
**XIIDRA® (lifitegrast ophthalmic solution), for topical ophthalmic use**

**Initial U.S. Approval: 2016**

**BRIEF SUMMARY:** Please see package insert for full prescribing information.

1 **INDICATIONS AND USAGE**

Xiidra® (lifitegrast ophthalmic solution) 5% is indicated for the treatment of the signs and symptoms of dry eye disease (DED).

4 **CONTRAINDICATIONS**

Xiidra is contraindicated in patients with known hypersensitivity to lifitegrast or to any of the other ingredients in the formulation [see Adverse Reactions (6.2)].

6 **ADVERSE REACTIONS**

The following serious adverse reactions are described elsewhere in the labeling:

• Hypersensitivity [see Contraindications (4)]

6.1 Clinical Trials Experience

Because clinical trials are conducted under widely varying conditions, adverse reaction rates observed in clinical trials of a drug cannot be directly compared to rates in the clinical trials of another drug and may not reflect the rates observed in practice.

In five clinical trials of DED conducted with lifitegrast ophthalmic solution, 1401 patients received at least one dose of lifitegrast (1287 of which received lifitegrast 5%). The majority of patients (84%) had less than or equal to 3 months of treatment exposure. One hundred-seventy patients were exposed to lifitegrast for approximately 12 months. The majority of the treated patients were female (77%). The most common adverse reactions reported in 5%-25% of patients were instillation-site irritation, dysgeusia, and reduced visual acuity.

Other adverse reactions reported in 1%-5% of the patients were blurred vision, conjunctival hyperemia, eye irritation, headache, increased lacrimation, eye discharge, eye discomfort, eye pruritus, and sinusitis.

6.2 Postmarketing Experience

The following adverse reactions have been identified during post-approval use of Xiidra. Because these reactions are reported voluntarily from a population of uncertain size, it is not always possible to reliably estimate their frequency or establish a causal relationship to drug exposure.

Rare serious cases of hypersensitivity, including anaphylactic reaction, bronchospasm, respiratory distress, pharyngeal edema, swollen tongue, urticaria, allergic conjunctivitis, dyspnea, angioedema, and allergic dermatitis have been reported. Eye swelling and rash have also been reported [see Contraindications (4)].

8 **USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS**

8.1 Pregnancy

Risk Summary

There are no available data on Xiidra use in pregnant women to inform any drug-associated risks. Intravenous administration of lifitegrast to pregnant rabbits during organogenesis produced an increased incidence of omphalocele at the lowest dose tested, 3 mg/kg/day (400-fold the human plasma exposure at the recommended human ophthalmic dose [RHOD], based on the area under the curve [AUC] level). Since human systemic exposure to lifitegrast following ocular administration of Xiidra at the RHOD is low, the applicability of animal findings to the risk of Xiidra use in humans during pregnancy is unclear [see Clinical Pharmacology (12.3) in the full prescribing information].

Data

Animal Data

Lifitegrast administered daily by IV injection to rats, from pre-mating through gestation day 17, caused an increase in mean pre-implantation loss and an increased incidence of several minor skeletal anomalies at 30 mg/kg/day, representing 5,400-fold the human plasma exposure at the RHOD of Xiidra, based on AUC. No teratogenicity was observed in the rabbit, an increased incidence of omphalocele was observed at the lowest dose tested, 3 mg/kg/day (400-fold the human plasma exposure at the RHOD, based on AUC), when administered by IV injection daily from gestation days 7 through 19. A fetal no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) was not identified in the rabbit.

8.2 Lactation

Risk Summary

There are no data on the presence of lifitegrast in human milk, the effects on the breastfed infant, or the effects on milk production. However, systemic exposure to lifitegrast from ocular administration is low [see Clinical Pharmacology (12.3) in the full prescribing information]. The developmental and health benefits of breastfeeding should be considered, along with the mother’s clinical need for Xiidra and any potential adverse effects on the breastfed child from Xiidra.

8.4 Pediatric Use

Safety and efficacy in pediatric patients below the age of 17 years have not been established.

8.5 Geriatric Use

No overall differences in safety or effectiveness have been observed between elderly and younger adult patients.
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